#### **Verification for the Utility Wildfire Mitigation Maturity Survey**

Utilities shall complete the following verification, attached to a PDF of their electronic survey responses, following completion of the electronic survey. This document will be shared with the utilities for completion within one business day of completing the electronic survey.

Complete the following verification for the Utility Wildfire Mitigation Maturity Survey submission:

(See Rule 1.11)
(Where Applicant is a Corporation)

I am an officer of the applicant corporation herein, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The responses in the attached survey are true of my own knowledge.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 10, 2021 at Ladera Ranch (Name of city), California.

Paul Grigaux
Vice President
Southern California Edison Company
Asset Management, Strategy & Engineering
Transmission & Distribution

### Q1. Purpose of utility survey:

This survey, in addition to other inputs, will be used to inform the utility's maturity level to establish a level for the current year (2021), as well as establish a target maturity for 2023.

The assessment of maturity will also leverage each utility's WMP submission, other supporting documents and disclosures, and select audits of relevant inputs where deemed necessary.

### <u>Instructions for answering each of the survey questions:</u>

Utilities shall answer survey questions by:

- 1. Indicating the most appropriate response option to each question based on the <u>presently employed</u> <u>practices and capabilities</u> of the utility.
- 2. Indicating the <u>most appropriate response to each question for the utility's expected capabilities in 3 years</u> (Q1, 2023) based on expected growth in maturity over the 3 year period of the Wildfire Mitigation Plan (WMP) to inform the utility's 3-year target maturity.

Only one response option should be selected unless the question is specified as select all that apply.

Importantly, utilities shall only indicate that they meet a given response option if they meet <u>all</u> of the characteristics described within that response option, across <u>all instances</u> where that question is valid.

For example, if a utility meets all criteria for answer ii of a given question and all but one criterion for answer iii, that utility must select answer ii. Similarly, if a utility meets all criteria for answer ii of a given question over 60% of its territory but meets all criteria for answer i over 100% of its territory, the utility must select answer i.

### <u>Instructions for use of the electronic survey:</u>

Please fill out the electronic survey in its entirety.

The unique link provided to you can be used on multiple devices. Please only use on a single device at a time. To avoid creation of any conflict copies, please allow 15 minutes to pass before switching between devices. For example, if passing the survey off to a colleague on a different machine please have the colleague wait for 15 minutes after you stop working to begin.

If you are completing the survey in multiple sittings, your progress will be saved. You may use the unique link provided to you to resume where you left off.

### **Confirmation of survey responses:**

Within 24 hours of completing and submitting the survey in its entirety, the main utility contact designated below will receive a PDF of your responses for final verification by email. Please review that document, confirm all of your responses one final time, and provide your signature as instructed in the PDF.

Your responses will be evaluated by the CPUC following this final verification.

#### Α.

## A. Risk mapping and simulation

### A.I Climate scenario modeling and sensitivities

### Capability 1

QAla.

A.I.a How sophisticated is utility's ability to estimate the risk of weather scenarios?

<u>Clarification</u>: Determining wildfire risk requires the utility to understand the probability of ignition and the consequences of such an ignition while taking various conditions into account (e.g., weather, fuel levels, etc.). Categorizing level of risk requires a set of calculations and judgements to group areas by wildfire risk level whereas quantitatively estimating risk refers to accurately quantifying risk on a continuous spectrum based on a host of wildfire risk drivers (e.g., as a function of ignition probability, propagation scenarios, and communities located in the propagation path).

	i. No clear ability to understand incremental risk under various weather scenarios	ii. Wildfire risk can be reliably determined based on weather and its impacts	iii. Weather scenarios can be reliably <b>categorized</b> <b>by level of risk</b>	iv. Risk for various weather scenarios can be reliably estimated	v. Incremental risk of foreseeable weather scenarios can be accurately and quantitatively estimated
Current Year	0	$\circ$		•	0
by Start of 2023					

QAIb.

#### A.I.b How are scenarios assessed?

<u>Clarification</u>: Per the instructions, please only indicate that you meet a given response option if <u>you meet all</u> the characteristics described within that response option). So, hypothetically, if you do support your scenarios assessment by historical data of incidents and near misses and conduct internal assessments, but don't have an independent expert assessment, you would select (ii).

	i. No formal assessment process	ii. <b>Independent expert</b> assessment	iii. Independent expert assessment, supported by historical data of incidents and near misses	iv. Independent expert assessment, supported by historical data of incidents and near misses, and updated based on real-time learning during weather event
Current Year	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0		•	

QAIc.

#### A.I.c How granular is utility's ability to model scenarios?

	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional	iii. Circuit-based	iv. Span-based	v. Asset-based
Current Year	0		•		$\circ$
by Start of 2023		$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	

#### A.I.d How automated is the tool? Clarification: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4 ii. Partially iii. Mostly i. Not automated (<50%) (≥ 50%) iv. Fully **Current Year** by Start of 2023

QAle.

A.l.e What additional information is used to estimate model weather scenarios and their risk?

	i. None	ii. Weather, how weather effects failure modes and propagation	iii. Weather, how weather effects failure modes and propagation, existing hardware	iv. Weather, measured at the circuit level, how weather effects failure modes and propagation, existing hardware	iv. Weather, measured at the circuit level, how weather effects failure modes and propagation, existing hardware, level of vegetation
Current Year		$\bigcirc$		•	
by Start of 2023		$\circ$			•

QAIf.

A.I.f To what extent is future change in climate taken into account for future risk estimation?

	Future climate change     not accounted for in     estimating future weather     and resulting risk	ii. Future risk estimates take into account generally higher risk across entire service territory due to changing climate	iii. Basic temperature modeling used to estimate effects of a changing climate on future weather and risk, taking into account difference in geography and vegetation	iv. Modeling with multiple scenarios used to estimate effects of a changing climate on future weather and risk, taking into account difference in geography and vegetation, and considering increase in extreme weather event frequency
Current Year	•			
by Start of 2023	0			

AII

### A.II Ignition risk estimation

Capability 2

QAlla.

A.II.a How is ignition risk calculated?

	i. No reliable tool or process to estimate risk across the grid based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, and vegetation	ii. Tools and processes can reliably categorize the risk of ignition across the grid into at least two categories based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, surrounding vegetation, and localized weather patterns	iii. Tools and processes can quantitatively and accurately assess the risk of ignition across the grid based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, surrounding vegetation, and localized weather patterns	can quantitatively and accurately assess the risk of ignition across the grid based on characteristics and condition of lines, equipment, surrounding vegetation, localized weather patterns, and flying debris probability, with probability based on specific failure modes and top contributors to those failure modes
Current Year	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		
by Start of 2023				•
automation' in Table 2 of the or 2; (iii) corresponds to leve	•	•	iii. Mostly	zsponas to lever i
	i. Not automated	(<50%)	(≥ 50%)	iv. Fully
Current Year	i. Not automated			iv. Fully
		(<50%)	(≥ 50%)	
		(<50%)  •	(≥ 50%)	
Oy Start of 2023  QAllc. A.II.c How granular is the	tool?  i. Less granular than regional, or no tool	ii. Regional iii. Circu	(≥ 50%)	
oy Start of 2023  QAIIc.	tool?  i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional iii. Circu	(≥ 50%)  • uit-based iv. Span-base	ed v. Asset-based
Oy Start of 2023  QAllc.  A.II.c How granular is the	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional iii. Circu	(≥ 50%)  uit-based iv. Span-base	ed v. Asset-based
QAIIc. A.II.c How granular is the  Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional iii. Circu	(≥ 50%)  uit-based iv. Span-base	ed v. Asset-based
QAIIc. A.II.c How granular is the Current Year by Start of 2023  QAIId. A.II.d How is risk assessr	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional iii. Circu	(≥ 50%)  uit-based iv. Span-base  iii. Through real-time learning	ed v. Asset-based

 $\label{eq:QAlle} \textit{QAlle.} \\ \textbf{A.II.e What confidence interval, in percent, does the utility use in its wildfire risk assessments?}$ 

	confidence interval	>80%	>90%	>95%
Current Year	0	0	0	•
y Start of 2023		0		•
A///. A III Estimati	on of wildfire	consogu	oncos f	or.
communities Capability 3	on of wildfire	Consequ	ences n	OI
Q <i>AIIIa.</i> A.III.a How is estimated	consequence of ignition	on relayed?		
	i. <b>No translation</b> of ignition risk estimates to potential consequences for communities	ii. Ignition events categorized as low or high risk to communities	iii. Ignition eve categorized with more levels of ri communitie	h 5 or quantitatively, isk to accurately, and
rrent Year	ignition risk estimates to potential consequences	categorized as low or high risk to	categorized with more levels of ri	ents ignition events h 5 or quantitatively, isk to accurately, and
Start of 2023  QAIIIb.	ignition risk estimates to potential consequences	categorized as low or high risk to communities	categorized with more levels of ri communitie	ents ignition events h 5 or quantitatively, isk to accurately, and es precisely estimate
Start of 2023  QAIIIb.	ignition risk estimates to potential consequences for communities  used to estimate the continuous i. As a function of at least of the following: structures by	categorized as low or high risk to communities  onsequence of igrams ii. As a functione of potential fatalities of structures by	categorized with more levels of ri communitie	iii. As a function of at least potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, moneta damages, impact on GHG reduction ignition events quantitatively, accurately, and precisely estimate
Start of 2023  QAIIIb.  A.III.b What metrics are	ignition risk estimates to potential consequences for communities  used to estimate the continuous i. As a function of at least of	ii. As a functione of potential fatalities of structures burned burned	categorized with more levels of ri communitie  nition risk?  fon of at least s, and one or both burned, or area	iii. As a function of at least potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, moneta damages, impact on air qual
urrent Year  Start of 2023  QAIIIb.  A.III.b What metrics are  urrent Year  Start of 2023	ignition risk estimates to potential consequences for communities  used to estimate the continuous i. As a function of at least of the following: structures by	ii. As a function of structures to burned	categorized with more levels of ricommunitie	iii. As a function of at least potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, moneta damages, impact on air qual and impact on GHG reduction goals
Start of 2023  QAIIIb.  A.III.b What metrics are  arrent Year  Start of 2023	ignition risk estimates to potential consequences for communities  used to estimate the continuous i. As a function of at least of the following: structures by	ii. As a function of structures burned iii.	categorized with more levels of ricommunitie	iii. As a function of at least potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, moneta damages, impact on air qual and impact on GHG reduction goals
Start of 2023  AIIIb.  A.III.b What metrics are  rrent Year  Start of 2023	ignition risk estimates to potential consequences for communities  used to estimate the continuous distribution of at least of the following: structures be potential fatalities, or area be	ii. As a functione of structures burned able for all season	categorized with more levels of ricommunitie	iii. As a function of at least potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, moneta damages, impact on air qual and impact on GHG reduction goals
QAIIIb. A.III.b What metrics are  urrent Year Start of 2023	ignition risk estimates to potential consequences for communities  used to estimate the continuous distribution of at least of the following: structures be potential fatalities, or area by the following distribution of at least of the following: structures be potential fatalities, or area by the following distribution of the following distribution of the following: structures be potential fatalities, or area by the following distribution of the following distribution dist	ii. As a functione of structures burned able for all season	categorized with more levels of ricommunitie	iii. As a function of at least potential fatalities, structures burned, area burned, moneta damages, impact on air qual and impact on GHG reduction goals

or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

	i. Not automated	ii. Partially (<50%)		Mostly : 50%)	iv. Fully
Current Year	0	•		0	0
by Start of 2023	0			•	
QAIIIe. A.III.e How granular is the	1	ation process?			
	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional iii. Cin	cuit-based	iv. Span-bas	ed v. Asset-based
Current Year	0	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023		$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	•
QA/IIIf.  A.III.f How are the output	s of the ignition risk	impact assessme	nt tool eva	luated?	iv. Outputs independent
•	s of the ignition risk  i. Outputs not evaluated	ii. Outputs independentl assessed by experts	iii. Outputs assessed b confirmed	independently y experts <b>and</b>	assessed by experts an confirmed based on re time learning, for
A.III.f How are the output		ii. Outputs <b>independent!</b>	iii. Outputs assessed b confirmed	independently y experts <b>and</b> <b>by historical</b>	assessed by experts an confirmed based on re time learning, for example, using machin
•	i. Outputs <b>not evaluated</b>	ii. Outputs independenti assessed by experts	iii. Outputs assessed b confirmed d	independently y experts <b>and</b> <b>by historical</b> lata	assessed by experts an confirmed based on re time learning, for example, using machin
A.III.f How are the output	i. Outputs <b>not evaluated</b>	ii. Outputs independenting assessed by experts  impact?  ii. Level and conditions of vegetation and weather	iii. Outputs assessed by confirmed d	independently y experts and by historical lata  d conditions of and weather, he vegetation immediately	assessed by experts an confirmed based on re time learning, for example, using machin learning
Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Outputs <b>not evaluated</b>	ii. Outputs independently assessed by experts  impact?  ii. Level and conditions of vegetation and weather including the vegetation specifies immediately	iii. Outputs assessed by confirmed d	independently y experts and by historical lata  d conditions of and weather, he vegetation immediately g the ignition up-to-date	example, using maching learning
Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Outputs not evaluated  i. are used to estimate  i. Level and conditions of	ii. Outputs independently assessed by experts  impact?  ii. Level and conditions of vegetation and weather including the vegetation specifies immediately surrounding the ignition	iii. Outputs assessed by confirmed d	d conditions of and weather, he vegetation immediately g the ignition up-to-date content, local	assessed by experts an confirmed based on re time learning, for example, using machin learning

AIV.

# A.IV Estimation of wildfire and PSPS risk-reduction impact

Capability 4

QAIVa.

vour ignition risl on level of autor Maturity Model. il 3; and (iv) corre	mation please re (i) in this case c esponds to level ii. Part	efer to the 'I orresponds 4	sment tool? evel of system		
our ignition risl on level of autor Maturity Model. Il 3; and (iv) corre i. Not automated	k reduction important impo	pact asses efer to the 'I orresponds 4	sment tool? evel of system to level 0; (ii) iii. Mostly (≥50%)	natization	and onds to level 1
on level of autor Maturity Model. I 3; and (iv) corre	mation please re (i) in this case of esponds to level ii. Part (<50	efer to the 'I orresponds 4	evel of system s to level 0; (ii) iii. Mostly (≥50%)		iv. Fully
	(<50		(≥50%)		0
			0		
	0		•		$\bigcirc$
i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional	iii. Circuit-b	pased iv. Spa	an-based	v. Asset-based
				$\circ$	
0	0			0	•
i. No or limited forn	<b>nal</b> t for ii. With <b>evid</b> e	ence and i		iv. asse <b>by</b>	Independent expert essment, supported r historical data of acidents and near misses
0		<u> </u>	<ul><li></li></ul>		0
	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all  c reduction important in the series of the series	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all ii. Regional  c reduction impact assessmen  i. No or limited formal evidence or support for ii. With evide	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all ii. Regional iii. Circuit-based at all iii. Circuit-based at all iii. Regional iii. With evidence and iii. With evidence and iii.	regional, or no tool at all ii. Regional iii. Circuit-based iv. Space of the state	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all ii. Regional iii. Circuit-based iv. Span-based  creduction impact assessment tool estimates assessed?  i. No or limited formal evidence or support for estimates iii. With evidence and logical reasoning iii. Independent expert in assessment

	i. None	ii. Existing hardware type and condition	iii. Existing hardware type and condition, including operating history	iv. Existing hardware type and condition, including operating history; level and condition of vegetation; weather	v. Existing hardware type and condition, including operating history; level and condition of vegetation; weather; and combination of initiatives already deployed
Current Year	0	0	•	0	0
by Start of 2023		$\bigcirc$			•

AV.

### A.V Risk maps and simulation algorithms

### Capability 5

<u>Clarification on terminology</u>: A risk map is a collection of data sufficient to represent the spatial distribution (e.g., across a geography) of a given type of risk (i.e., the probability of an event and its consequence) and the spatial representation thereof. Risk maps may include maps of the probability of ignition along the utility's grid and may represent the consequences given ignition at various points along the grid. Risk maps may also combine these factors to show a weighted probability and consequence risk level across the utility's grid. Data inputs should include the variables and conditions used to calculate risk for a given point, line, or polygon. The risk mapping algorithm is a methodology or formula for interpreting a risk calculation from these data inputs.

QAVa.

A.V.a What is the protocol to update risk mapping algorithms?

	i. <b>No defined process</b> for updating risk mapping algorithms	<ul><li>ii. Risk mapping algorithms updated based on detected deviations of risk model to ignitions and propagation</li></ul>	iii. Risk mapping algorithms updated continuously in real time
Current Year	0	•	
by Start of 2023	0	•	

QAVb.

A.V.b How automated is the mechanism to determine whether to update algorithms based on deviations?

<u>Clarification</u>: For clarification on level of automation please refer to the 'level of systematization and automation' in Table 2 of the Maturity Model. (i) in this case corresponds to level 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 or 2; (iii) corresponds to level 3; and (iv) corresponds to level 4

	i. Not automated	ii. Partially (<50%)	iii. Mostly (≥50%)	iv. Fully
Current Year	•		$\circ$	
by Start of 2023		•	$\circ$	

QAVc.

	i. Not currently calculated	ii. Manually	iii. Semi-automate process	iv. Fully automated process
Current Year	0	•	0	0
by Start of 2023	$\circ$		•	$\circ$
QAVd. A.V.d How are decisions	to update algorithms e  i. Not currently evaluated	ii. Independel	ntly evaluated by cperts	iii. Independently evaluated by experts <b>and historical data</b>
Current Year	0		•	
by Start of 2023			•	
QAVe. A.V.e What other data is u	sed to make decisions	on whether to	update algorithm	s?

	i. Historic ignition and propagation data	ii. <b>Current</b> and historic ignition and propagation data	iii. Current and historic ignition and propagation data; near-miss data	iv. Current and historic ignition and propagation data; near-miss data; data from other utilities and other sources	v. None of the above
Current Year				•	$\bigcirc$
by Start of 2023				•	

R

# B. Situational awareness and forecasting

BI.

# B.I Weather variables collected Capability 6

QBIa.

B.I.a What weather data is currently collected?

	i. Wind data being collected is <b>insufficient</b> to properly understand wind related risks along grid	ii. Wind being measured accurately enough along the grid to estimate ignition probability	iii. Range of accura weather variables (chumidity, precipitati surface and atmospheric wind conditions) that imp probability of ignition propagation from uti assets	e.g. ignition and propagation from utility assets; additional data to measure physical impact of weather on and grid collected (e.g.,
Current Year	0		•	$\circ$
by Start of 2023	0	0	•	0
QBlb.  B.I.b How are measurem	1			
	i. Measurements not curr validated		eld calibration i rements	ii. <b>Automatic</b> field calibration measurements
Current Year		(		0
Current real		_		
by Start of 2023  QBIc.  B.I.c Are elements that c	annot be reliably mea		being predicted	● (e.g., fuel moisture
by Start of 2023  QBIc.	annot be reliably mea	sured in real time		
OBIC.  B.I.c Are elements that content)?		sured in real time		(e.g., fuel moisture
by Start of 2023  QBlc.  B.I.c Are elements that c	i. N	sured in real time		(e.g., fuel moisture
OBIC. B.I.c Are elements that content)?  Current Year	i. N	sured in real time	being predicted	(e.g., fuel moisture  ii. Yes  o
QBIc. B.I.c Are elements that content)?  Current Year by Start of 2023	i. N	sured in real time	being predicted	(e.g., fuel moisture  ii. Yes  o o g collected?

QBIIa.

B.II.a How granular is the weather data that is collected?

	i. Weather data collected does not accurately reflect loc weather conditions across grid infrastructure	to reliably me	ata has nularity easure itions in	sufficien reliably m conditi areas, a entire ç areas neo	ther data has t granularity to leasure weather ons in HFTD and along the grid and in all leded to predict er on the grid	sufficie reliably conditio and alc and in a predic grid. Als estima atmos	eather data has ent granularity to measure weather ins in HFTD areas, ong the entire grid ill areas needed to tweather on the so includes wind attions at various pheric altitudes int to ignition risk
Current Year	$\bigcirc$						
by Start of 2023		0			$\circ$		•
QBIIb.  B.II.b How frequently is d	ata gathered?  i. Less frequently than hourly	ii. At least hourly	iii. At lea times pe		iv. At least six ti per hour	mes	v. At least sixty times per hour
Current Year	0	0	0		•		0
by Start of 2023							
QBIIc. B.II.c How granular is the	i. Less granular than regional, or no tool at all	ii. Regional	iii. Circuit	-based	iv. Span-base	ed	v. Asset-based
Current Year	0		•				
by Start of 2023							
QBIId.  B.II.d How automated is t Clarification: For clarification automation' in Table 2 of the or 2; (iii) corresponds to leve	n on level of autom Maturity Model. (i	ation please ref ) in this case co	er to the rrespond	level o			

	i. Not automated	ii. Partially (<50%)	iii. Mostly (≥50%)	iv. Fully
Current Year	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	$\circ$		$\bigcirc$	•

BIII.

## **B.III Weather forecasting ability**

Capability 8

	i. No reliable independent weather forecasting ability	ii. Utility has indo weather forecast sufficiently acc fulfill PSI requireme	ependent ting ability curate to PS	iii. Utility has the use a combinaccurate was stations and weather data accurate for the stations accurate for the stations accurate for the stations are stations.	ination of veather I external a to make	iv. Utility has the ability to use a combination of accurate weather stations and external weather data to make accurate forecasts, and adjusts them in real time based on a learning algorithm and updated weather inputs
Current Year				•		
by Start of 2023	0			•		
QBIIIb.  B.III.b How far in advance	I				- " A41-	
Current Year	i. Less than two weeks in	i advance II. At i		eeks in advanc	e III. At lea	ast three weeks in advance
by Start of 2023	•					
5, Start 6, 2020				,		
QBIIIc.  B.III.c At what level of gra	i. Less granular than regional, or no forecasts at all	sts be prepar	red?	t-based i	v. Span-bas	ed v. Asset-based
Current Year	0	0	•	)	0	0
by Start of 2023	0		C		•	0
QBIIId. B.III.d How are results er	ror-checked? i. Results are not error	aga		rror checked ical weather rns	fo subs	eria for option (ii) met, and orecasted results are sequently error <b>checked</b> <b>t measured weather data</b>
Current Year	0		C	)		•
by Start of 2023			C	)		•
QBIIIe.  B.III.e How automated is a Clarification: For clarification automation in Table 2 of the or 2; (iii) corresponds to lever	n on level of automate Maturity Model. (i) i	tion please refin this case co	rrespond			
	i. Not automated	ii. Partia (<50%		iii. Mo (≥50	stly 0%)	iv. Fully
Current Year	0	0	•	(		•

BIV.

# **B.IV External sources used in weather forecasting**Capability 9

<b>QBI</b>	Va
------------	----

B.IV.a What source does the utility use for weather data?

	i. Utility does not use external weather data	ii. External data used where direct measurements from utility's own weather stations are not available	iii. Utility <b>uses a combination</b> of accurate weather stations and external weather data	iv. Utility uses a combination of accurate weather stations and external weather data, and elects to use the data set, as a whole or in composite, that is most accurate
Current Year	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023				•

QBIVb.

B.IV.b How is weather station data checked for errors?

	i. Weather station data is <b>not checked</b> <b>for errors</b>	ii. <b>Mostly manual</b> processes for error checking weather stations with external data sources	iii. Mostly automated processes for error checking weather stations with external data sources	iv. Completely automated processes for error checking weather stations with external data sources	v. Completely automated processes for error checking weather stations with external data sources, and where the utility builds new weather stations or calibrates existing stations, it is based on these error checking processes
Current Year	0	•		0	0
by Start of 2023		•	$\bigcirc$		$\circ$

QBIVc.

B.IV.c For what is weather data used?

i. Weather data is <b>used to make</b> <b>decisions</b>	ii. Weather data is <b>used to</b> produce a combined weather  map that can be used to help  make decisions	iii. Weather data is used to create a single visual and configurable live map that can be used to help make decisions
0	0	•
0	$\circ$	•
		i. Weather data is <b>used to make</b> produce a combined weather map that can be used to help

### **B.V Wildfire detection processes and capabilities**

### Capability 10

_	_	
$\sim$	$\mathbf{D}$	1/0
w	$\boldsymbol{\Box}$	Va

B.V.a Are there well-defined procedures for detecting ignitions along the grid?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•

QBVb.

B.V.b What equipment is used to detect ignitions?

	i. No consistent set of equipment for detecting ignitions along grid	ii. <b>Well-defined equipment</b> for detecting ignitions along grid	iii. Well-defined equipment for detecting ignitions along grid, including remote detection equipment including cameras	iv. Well-defined equipment for detecting ignitions along grid, including remote detection equipment including cameras, and satellite monitoring
Current Year	0		$\bigcirc$	•
by Start of 2023	0			•

QBVc.

B.V.c How is information on detected ignitions reported?

	i. Detected ignitions are <b>not reported</b>	ii. <b>Procedure exists</b> for notifying suppression forces	iii. Procedure exists for notifying suppression forces and key stakeholders	iv. Procedure automatically, accurately, and in real time notifies suppression forces and key stakeholders	v. Procedure automatically, accurately, and in real time notifies suppression forces and key stakeholders, and tracks and reports propagation paths to suppression forces in accurately and in real time
Current Year	$\circ$		•		
by Start of 2023			$\bigcirc$		

QBVd.

B.V.d What role does ignition detection software play in wildfire detection?

	i. Ignition detection software <b>not currently</b> <b>deployed</b>	ii. Ignition detection software in cameras used to augment ignition detection procedures	iii. Ignition detection software in cameras operates automatically as part of ignition detection procedures	iv. All criteria met for option iii., and software automatically reports any ignition event to suppression forces accurately and in real time
Current Year	•		0	
by Start of 2023	$\circ$	•	$\circ$	

C

## C. Grid design and system hardening

<u>Clarification</u>: 'Hardening' refers to grid hardening as defined in the WMP guidelines: Actions (such as equipment upgrades, maintenance, and planning for more resilient infrastructure) taken in response to the risk of undesirable events (such as outages) or undesirable conditions of the electrical system in order to reduce or mitigate those events and conditions, informed by an assessment of the relevant risk drivers or factors.

CI.

# C.I Approach to prioritizing initiatives across territory Capability 11

QCIa.

C.l.a How are wildfire risk reduction initiatives prioritized?

	i. Plan does not clearly prioritize initiatives geographically to focus on highest risk areas	ii. Plan prioritizes risk reduction initiatives to within only HFTD areas	iii. Plan prioritizes wildfire risk reduction initiatives based on local geography and conditions within only HFTD areas	iv. Plan prioritizes wildfire risk reduction initiatives at the span level based on i) risk modeling driven by local geography and climate/weather conditions, fuel loads and moisture content and topography ii) detailed wildfire and PSPS risk simulations across individual circuits	v. Plan prioritizes wildfire risk reduction initiatives at the asset level based on i) risk modeling driven by local geography and climate/weather conditions, fuel loads and moisture content and topography ii) risk estimates across individual circuits, including estimates of actual consequence, and iii) taking power delivery uptime into account (e.g. reliability, PSPS, etc.)
Current Year				•	
by Start of 2023					•

# C.II Grid design for minimizing ignition risk Capability 12

0	C	lla
w	$\smile$	ıa

C.II.a Does grid design meet minimum G095 requirements and loading standards in HFTD areas?

	i. No	ii. Yes	<ul><li>iii. Grid topology exceeds design requirements, designed based on accurate understanding of drivers of utility ignition risk</li></ul>
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023			•

#### QCIIb.

C.II.b Does the utility provide micro grids or islanding where traditional grid infrastructure is impracticable and wildfire risk is high?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•

#### QCIIc.

C.II.c Does routing of new portions of the grid take wildfire risk into account?

	i. Yes	ii. No
Current Year	•	
by Start of 2023	•	

#### OCIId

C.II.d Are efforts made to incorporate the latest asset management strategies and new technologies into grid topology?

	i. No	ii. Yes, some effort made in HFTD areas	iii. Yes, across the entire service area
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023	0		

CIII.

# C.III Grid design for resiliency and minimizing PSPS

Capability 13

		11	1_
U	$\Box$	11.	ıa

C.III.a What level of redundancy does the utility's transmission architecture have?

Current Year  by Start of 2023    I. Many single points of failure   I. Many single po		i Many single	noints of failure		ii n_1 re	adundancy for all	circuite subject to PSPS
QCIIIb. C.III.b What level of redundancy does the utility's distribution architecture have?  i. Many single points of failure  ii. Many single points of failure    I. Many single points of failure   I. Many single points of failure   I. Many single points of failure   I. Many single points of failure   I. Many single points of failure   I. Many single points of failure   I. Many single points of failure   I. Many single points of failure   II. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   I. Many single points of failure   II. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   I. Many single points of failure   II. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Switches in individually solate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within cone switch   III. Swit	Current Year	i. many onigio					
C.III.b What level of redundancy does the utility's distribution architecture have?    i. Many single points of failure			0				
Li. Many single points of failure    Current Year	•	dancy does the uti					iv n-1 redundancy
QCIIIc. C.III.c What level of sectionalization does the utility's distribution architecture have?    I			covering at lea	st 50% of	covering	at least 70% of	covering at least 85% of
QCIIIc. C.III.c What level of sectionalization does the utility's distribution architecture have?    Iii. Switches in HFTD areas to individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 2000 ustomers sit within one switch   Image: Common temperature   Im	Current Year	0	0			•	0
Current Year    Current Year   Image: Application to the section alization does the utility's distribution architecture have?    International Colling of Failure   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no more than 200 customers sit within one switch   Individually isolate circuits, such that no circuits such that no circui	by Start of 2023	0	0			•	
QCIIId. C.III.d How does the utility consider egress points in its grid topology?    Iii. Egress points available and mapped for each customer, and potential traffic mapped shad on traffic simulated and taken into consideration for grid topology design   Iii. Egress points used as an input for grid topology design     Iii. Egress points used as an input for grid topology design     Iii. Egress points used as an input for grid topology design     Iii. Egress points available and mapped for each customer, with potential traffic simulated and taken into consideration for grid topology design, and microgrids or other means to reduce consequence for customers at frequent risk of PSPS	•	i I i. <b>Many single</b> ind	ii. <b>Switches</b> in HFTD areas to dividually isolate	iii. <b>Switch</b> HFTD are individually circuits, su no more tha	nes in eas to risolate ch that an 2000 sit within	iv. <b>Switches</b> i HFTD areas to individually isolatericuits, such that more than 100 customers sit wi	o HFTD areas to ate individually isolate at no circuits, such that no more than 200 thin customers sit within
QCIIId. C.III.d How does the utility consider egress points in its grid topology?    III. Egress points available and mapped for each customer, with potential traffic simulated and taken into consideration for grid topology design as an input for grid topology design as an input for grid topology design into consideration for grid topology design as an input for grid topology design and mapped for each customer, and topology design and taken into consideration for grid topology design and mapped for each customer, and topology design and	Current Year	0	0	0		0	•
C.III.d How does the utility consider egress points in its grid topology?  iii. Egress points available and mapped for each customer, and potential traffic mapped for each customer, and potential traffic mapped based on traffic simulated and microgrids or other means to reduce consequence for each customer, and potential traffic mapped based on traffic simulation and taken into consideration for grid topology design as an input for grid topology design into consideration for grid topology design are into consideration for grid topology design or other means to reduce consequence for customers at frequent risk of PSPS  Current Year	by Start of 2023	0	0	0		0	•
Current Year  ii. Egress points used as an input for grid topology design i. Does not consider  iii. Egress points used as an input for grid topology design it topology design  for each customer, and potential traffic mapped based on traffic simulation and taken into consideration for grid topology design  Current Year	·	consider egress p	ooints in its g	rid topol		Egress points	available and mapped for each customer, with potential traffic simulated
		i. Does not cons	as an <b>in</b> j	<b>out</b> for grid	availat for eac potentia bas simula into co	ole and mapped the customer, and traffic mapped ted on traffic ation and taken consideration for	topology design, and microgrids or other means to reduce consequence for customers at frequent
by Start of 2023	Current Year	•		0		0	0
	by Start of 2023			•		$\bigcirc$	

CIV.

## C.IV Risk-based grid hardening and cost efficiency

QCIVa.

**Current Year** 

C.IV.a Does the utility have an understanding of the risk spend efficiency of hardening initiatives? Clarification: 'Hardening initiatives' refers to all initiatives implemented by utility or by other utilities in California

California					ity has an accurate
	i. Utility has no clear un of the relative risk spen of hardening initia	d efficiency	ii. Utility has an accurate inderstanding of the relative and effectiveness of differe initiatives	and effe cost initiati	ding of the relative cost ctiveness of different ves, tailored to the ces of different locations on its grid
Current Year			$\bigcirc$		•
by Start of 2023	0		0		•
QCIVb. C.IV.b At what level can es	1	red?			
	i. Less granular than regional, or not at all	ii. Regional	iii. Circuit-based	iv. Span-based	v. Asset-based
Current Year	0	$\bigcirc$	•	$\circ$	$\circ$
by Start of 2023					•
Current Year	i. Never		ii. Less frequently than annu	ally iii. Annua	ally or more frequently
Current Veer			ii. Less frequently than aimu	any III. Airiue	
by Start of 2023			0		•
QCIVd. C.IV.d What grid hardenin Clarification: 'All Hardening California					r utilities in
	i. None	ii. Some	iii. Most	iv. All	v. All, supported by independent testing
Current Year	0	0	•	0	0
by Start of 2023					
QC/Ve. C.IV.e Can the utility evalu	uate risk reductior	ı synergies	from combination o	of various ini	tiatives?

i. No

•

ii. Yes

CV.

### C.V Grid design and asset innovation

Capability 15

QCVa.

C.V.a How are new hardening solution initiatives evaluated?

	i. <b>No established program</b> for evaluating the risk spend efficiency of new hardening initiatives	ii. New initiatives evaluated based on installation into grid and measuring direct reduction in ignition events	iii. New initiatives evaluated based on installation into grid and measuring direct reduction in ignition events, and measuring reduction impact on near-miss metrics	iv. New initiatives independently evaluated, followed by field testing based on installation into grid and measuring direct reduction in ignition events, and measuring reduction impact on near- miss metrics
Current Year	0		•	
by Start of 2023			•	$\circ$

QCVb.

C.V.b Are results of pilot and commercial deployments, including project performance, project cost, geography, climate, vegetation etc. shared in sufficient detail to inform decision making at other utilities?

	i. No	ii. Yes, with limited partners	iii. Yes, extensively with industry, academia, and other utilities
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023			•

QCVc.

C.V.c Is performance of new initiatives independently audited?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	•	
by Start of 2023	•	

Q372.

## D. Asset management and inspections

### D.I Asset inventory and condition assessments

Capability 16

QDIa.

D.I.a What information is captured in the equipment inventory database?

	i. There is no service territory- wide inventory of electric lines and equipment including their state of wear or disrepair	ii. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle	iii. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle, including records of all inspections and repairs	iv. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle, including records of all inspections and repairs and up-to-date work plans on expected future repairs and replacements	v. There is an accurate inventory of equipment that may contribute to wildfire risk, including age, state of wear, and expected lifecycle, including records of all inspections and repairs and up-to-date work plans on expected future repairs and replacements wherein repairs and sensor outputs are independently audited
Current Year	0		•		
Start of 2023	0			•	

QDIb.

D.I.b How frequently is the condition assessment updated?

	i. Never	ii. Annually	iii. Quarterly	iv. Monthly	v. Hourly
Current Year	0	0	0	•	
Start of 2023		$\bigcirc$		•	

QDIc.

D.I.c Does all equipment in HFTD areas have the ability to detect and respond to malfunctions?

	i. <b>No system and approach are in place</b> to detect or respond to malfunctions	ii. A system and approach are in place to reliably detect incipient malfunctions likely to cause ignition	iii. Sensorized, continuous monitoring equipment is in place to determine the state of equipment and reliably detect incipient malfunctions likely to cause ignition	iv. Sensorized, continuous monitoring equipment is in place to determine the state of equipment and reliably detect incipient malfunctions likely to cause ignition, with the ability to de-activate electric lines and equipment exhibiting such failure
Current Year	0	•	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
by Start of 2023	0		•	$\circ$

	i. There is <b>no inventory</b>	ii. At the <b>span</b> level	iii. At the <b>asset</b> level
Current Year	0	$\circ$	•
by Start of 2023	0	0	•

DII.

### **D.II** Asset inspection cycle

Capability 17

QDIIa.

D.II.a How frequent are your patrol inspections?

	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than regulations require	ii. <b>Consistent</b> with minimum regulatory requirements	iii. <b>Above</b> minimum regulatory requirements, with more frequent inspections for highest risk equipment
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023			•

QDIIb.

D.II.b How are patrol inspections scheduled?

	i. Based on annual or periodic <b>schedules</b>	ii. Based on <b>up-to- date</b> <b>static maps</b> of equipment types and environment	iii. Risk, as determined by predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and risk causing ignition	iv. Risk, independently determined by predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and risk causing ignition
Current Year	0	•		
by Start of 2023		$\circ$	•	

QDIIc.

D.II.c What are the inputs to scheduling patrol inspections?

	i. At least annually updated or verified <b>static</b> <b>maps</b> of equipment and environment	ii. <b>Predictive modeling</b> of equipment failure probability and risk	iii. Predictive modeling supplemented with continuous monitoring by sensors	iv. Outdated static maps
Current Year	•			0
by Start of 2023		•		$\circ$

QDIId.

	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than regreequire	ulations ii. Consistent regulatory re	with minimum in	rements, with more frequent spections for highest risk equipment
Current Year	0			•
by Start of 2023	0			•
QDIIe.  D.II.e How are detailed in	spections scheduled	<b> ?</b>		
	i. Based on annual or periodic <b>schedules</b>	ii. Based on <b>up-to- date</b> <b>static maps</b> of equipment types and environment	iii. Risk, as determined by predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and risk causing ignition	
Current Year		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$
by Start of 2023		$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$
	i. At least annually updated or verified <b>static</b> <b>maps</b> of equipment and environment	ii. <b>Predictive modeling</b> of equipment failure probability and risk	iii. Predictive modeling supplemented with continuous monitorin by sensors	
Current Year	0	•	0	$\circ$
by Start of 2023				0
QDIIg.  D.II.g How frequent are y	our other inspections	2		
	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than regirequire	ulations ii. <b>Consistent</b>	requi	Above minimum regulatory rements, with more frequent spections for highest risk equipment
	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than regi		requi	rements, with more frequent spections for highest risk
Current Year	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than regi	ulations ii. <b>Consistent</b>	requi with minimum in equirements	rements, with more frequent spections for highest risk equipment
Current Year by Start of 2023  QDIIh.  D.II.h How are other insp	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than regregative	ulations ii. <b>Consistent</b> regulatory re	requi with minimum in equirements	rements, with more frequent spections for highest risk equipment  iv. Risk, independently determined by predictive modeling of equipment
Current Year by Start of 2023  QDIIh.  D.II.h How are other insp	i. Less frequent than regregative	ulations ii. <b>Consistent</b> regulatory re	requiwith minimum in equirements  iii. Risk, as determined by predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and risk causing ignition	rements, with more frequent spections for highest risk equipment   iv. Risk, independently determined by predictive
Current Year by Start of 2023  QDIIh.	i. Less frequent than regregative require	ulations  ii. Consistent regulatory re  ii. Based on up-to- date static maps of equipment	requiwith minimum in equirements  iii. Risk, as determined by predictive modeling of equipment failure probability and risk	iv. Risk, independently determined by predictive modeling of equipment

iii. **Above** minimum regulatory

$\sim$		

D.II.i What are the inputs to scheduling other inspections?

	i. At least annually updated or verified <b>static</b> <b>maps</b> of equipment and environment	ii. <b>Predictive modeling</b> of equipment failure probability and risk	iii. Predictive modeling supplemented with continuous monitoring by sensors	iv. Outdated static maps
Current Year		•		
by Start of 2023	0	•	0	0

DIII.

### **D.III Asset inspection effectiveness**

Capability 18

QDIIIa.

D.III.a What items are captured within inspection procedures and checklists?

	i. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists <b>do not include all</b> <b>items required</b> by statute and regulations	ii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists <b>include all items</b> <b>required</b> by statute and regulations	iii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations, and includes lines and equipment typically responsible for ignitions and near misses
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023			•

QDIIIb.

D.III.b How are procedures and checklists determined?

	i. Based on <b>statute and</b> regulatory guidelines only	ii. Based on <b>predictive modeling</b> based on vegetation and equipment type, age, and condition	iii. Based on predictive modeling based on equipment type, age, and condition and validated by independent experts	based on deficiencies
Current Year		•		
by Start of 2023		•		

QDIIIc.

D.III.c At what level of granularity are the depth of checklists, training, and procedures customized?

	i. Across the service territory	ii. Across a <b>region</b>	iii. At the <b>circuit</b> level	iv. At the <b>span</b> level	v. At the <b>asset</b> level
Current Year			$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	•
by Start of 2023	0	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$	•

### **D.IV** Asset maintenance and repair

### Capability 19

_	_		_	
$\sim$	$\overline{}$	/۱	/_	
	,	/ N	/2	

D.IV.a What level are electrical lines and equipment maintained at?

	Electric lines and equipment not consistently maintained at required condition over multiple circuits	ii. Electrical lines and equipment maintained as required by regulation	iii. Electrical lines and equipment maintained as required by regulation, and additional maintenance done in areas of grid at highest wildfire risk based on detailed risk mapping
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0		

#### QDIVb.

D.IV.b How are service intervals set?

	i. Based on wildfire risk in relevant <b>area</b>	ii. Based on wildfire risk in relevant <b>circuit</b>	iii. Based on wildfire risk in relevant circuit, as well as real-time monitoring from sensors	iv. None of the above
Current Year	0	•	$\circ$	
by Start of 2023	0		$\circ$	

#### QDIVc.

D.IV.c What do maintenance and repair procedures take into account?

	i. Wildfire risk	ii. Wildfire risk, performance history, and past operating conditions	iii. None of the above
Current Year	0	•	0
by Start of 2023		•	$\bigcirc$

DV.

### D.V QA/QC for asset maintenance

Capability 20

QDVa.

D.V.a How is contractor activity audited?

	i. <b>Lack of controls</b> for auditing work completed, including inspections, for employees or subcontractors	ii. Through an established and functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors	iii. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to semi- automated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g., LiDAR scans, photographic evidence)	iv. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to automated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g., LiDAR scans, photographic evidence)
Current Year	0	•	0	0
by Start of 2023	0	•	$\bigcirc$	
		No		Yes
oy Start of 2023  QDVc.				● f work
by Start of 2023	A/QC information usions performance?	sed to identify defic	iencies in quality of	f work
QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is Q performance and inspect	A/QC information usions performance?	sed to identify defic	iencies in quality of an ad hoc asis iv. Regularl	f work
Oy Start of 2023  QDVc.  D.V.c How frequently is Querformance and inspections  Current Year	A/QC information usions performance?	sed to identify defic	iencies in quality of	f work
D.V.c How frequently is Q	A/QC information usions performance?	sed to identify defic	iencies in quality of an ad hoc asis iv. Regularl	f work
Oy Start of 2023  QDVc.  D.V.c How frequently is Querformance and inspections  Current Year	A/QC information usions performance?  i. Never ii	sed to identify defication iii. On a second iii. On a second iii.	iencies in quality of an ad hoc asis iv. Regularl	y v. Real-time
QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is Q performance and inspection of the performance of the perf	A/QC information usions performance?  i. Never ii	sed to identify defice  iii. On a sporadically base of meet utility-prescutive ii. QA/QC information is used to identify	iencies in quality of an ad hoc asis iv. Regularl	y v. Real-time
QDVc. D.V.c How frequently is Q performance and inspection of the performance of the perf	A/QC information usions performance?  i. Never ii  spections that do not ii. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or	ii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and	in ad hoc asis iv. Regularl  iii. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections and recommend training	v. Real-time  v. Real-time  v. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training

 $\mbox{\it QDVe.}$  D.V.e Are workforce management software tools used to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors?



E.

# E. Vegetation management and inspections

EI.

# E.I Vegetation inventory and condition assessments Capability 21

QEIa.

E.I.a What information is captured in the inventory?

	i. There is no vegetation inventory sufficient to determine vegetation clearances across the grid at the time of the last inspection	ii. Centralized inventory of vegetation clearances based on most recent inspection	iii. Centralized inventory of vegetation clearances, including predominant vegetation species and individual high risk-trees across grid	iv. Centralized inventory of vegetation clearances, including individual vegetation species and their expected growth rate, as well as individual high risk-trees across grid	v. Centralized inventory of vegetation clearances, including individual vegetation species and their expected growth rate, as well as individual high risk-trees across grid. Includes upto- date tree health and moisture content to determine risk of ignition and propagation
Current Year				•	
by Start of 2023					

QEIb.

E.I.b How frequently is the inventory updated?

	i. Never	ii. Annually	iii. Within 1 month of collection	iv. Within 1 week of collection	v. Within 1 day of collection
Current Year	0		$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	•
by Start of 2023					•

QEIc.

		i. No		ii. Y	es
Current Year		0		•	)
y Start of 2023		$\circ$			
Q <i>Eld.</i> E. <mark>l.d How granular is the</mark>	inventory?				
	i. Regional	ii. Circ	uit-based iii.	Span-based	iv. Asset-based
urrent Year	0		0	0	•
y Start of 2023			0	$\circ$	•
<sup>⊑</sup> . E.II Vegetatio	n inspectio	on cvc	le		
-III 1090tatio	ii iiiopootii	on cyc			
Capability 22					
_					
_					
Capability 22  QElla.	types of vegetation	on inspectio	ons?		
Capability 22  QElla.	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than require	-	ons?  ii. Consistent with min regulatory requireme	imum requiren	ove minimum regulatory nents, with more frequent ons for highest risk areas
Capability 22  QEIIa. E.II.a How frequent are al	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than r	-	ii. Consistent with min	imum requiren	nents, with more frequent
_	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than r	-	ii. Consistent with min	imum requiren	nents, with more frequent ons for highest risk areas
Capability 22  QEIIa.  E.II.a How frequent are al  urrent Year y Start of 2023	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than require	egulations	ii. Consistent with min	imum requiren	nents, with more frequent ons for highest risk areas
Capability 22  QEIIa.  E.II.a How frequent are al  urrent Year y Start of 2023	i. <b>Less frequent</b> than require	egulations	ii. Consistent with min	imum requiren	nents, with more frequent ons for highest risk areas
Capability 22  QEIIa.  E.II.a How frequent are al  urrent Year y Start of 2023	i. Less frequent than require	egulations	ii. Consistent with min	imum requiren	iv. Need, as independently determined by predictive modeling
Capability 22  QEIIa.  E.II.a How frequent are al	i. Less frequent than require	egulations luled?	ii. Consistent with min regulatory requirements of the consistent with min regulatory requirements.  ii. Based on up-to-date static maps of predominant vegetation species	iii. Risk, as determined by predictive modeling of vegetation growth and growing	iv. Need, as independently determined by predictive modeling of vegetation growth and growing

	i. At least annually- updated <b>static</b> <b>maps</b> of vegetation and environment	ii. Up to date, static maps of vegetation and environment, as well as data on annual growing conditions	iii. <b>Predictive</b> <b>modeling</b> of vegetation growth	iv. Predictive modeling of vegetation growth supplemented with continuous monitoring by sensors	v. Predictive modeling of vegetation growth supplemented with continuous monitoring by sensors and considering tree health and other vegetation risk factors for more frequent inspections in less healthy areas
Current Year		•			
by Start of 2023					

EIII.

### **E.III Vegetation inspection effectiveness**

Capability 23

QEIIIa.

E.III.a What items are captured within inspection procedures and checklists?

	i. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists <b>do not include all</b> <b>items required</b> by statute and regulations	ii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists <b>include all items</b> <b>required</b> by statute and regulations	iii. Patrol, detailed, enhanced, and other inspection procedures and checklists include all items required by statute and regulations, and includes vegetation types typically responsible for ignitions and near misses
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023			

QEIIIb.

E.III.b How are procedures and checklists determined?

	i. Based on <b>statute and</b> <b>regulatory guidelines</b> <b>only</b>	ii. Based on <b>predictive modeling</b> based on vegetation and equipment type, age, and condition	iii. Based on predictive modeling based on vegetation and equipment type, age, and condition and validated by independent experts	iv. Based on predictive modeling based on vegetation and equipment type, age, and condition and validated by independent experts, with dynamic adjustments in real time based on deficiencies found during inspection
Current Year		•		
by Start of 2023	$\circ$	•		

QEIIIc.

E.III.c At what level of granularity are the depth of checklists, training, and procedures customized?

	i. Across the service territory ii.	. Across a <b>region</b>	iii. At the <b>circuit</b> level	iv. At the <b>span</b> level	v. At the <b>asset</b> lev
Current Year	0	0	0	0	•
y Start of 2023		$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	•
En/					
EIV. <b>F IV Veget</b>	ation grow-in	mitigati	on		
Capability		iiiiigati			
	lity clearance around line	es and equipm	ent perform rel	ative to expec	ted
standards?					
	i. Utility often <b>fails to</b> n <b>minimum</b> statutory and		/ <b>meet minimum</b> stat		xceeds minimum y and regulatory
	clearances around all I equipment		julatory clearances ar I lines and equipment		around all lines and quipment
Current Year	0		0		•
y Start of 2023	0				
	neet or exceed minimum	<b>statutory or re</b>	gulatory cleara	nces during al	l seasons?
E.IV.b Does utility m		i. No	gulatory cleara	ii. Yes	I seasons?
Current Year		i. No	gulatory cleara	ii. Yes	I seasons?
E.IV.b Does utility m		i. No	gulatory cleara	ii. Yes	I seasons?
E.IV.b Does utility m  Current Year by Start of 2023  QEIVc.		i. No		ii. Yes	I seasons?
E.IV.b Does utility m  Current Year by Start of 2023  QEIVc.		i. No	lines and equip	ii. Yes  o ment?	I seasons?
E.IV.b Does utility m  current Year  y Start of 2023  QEIVc.  E.IV.c What modeling		i. No  ances around ii. Ign		ii. Yes  ii. Yes  ment?	I seasons?
E.IV.b Does utility murrent Year y Start of 2023  QEIVc. E.IV.c What modeling	ng is used to guide clear	i. No  ances around ii. Ign	lines and equip	ii. Yes  ii. Yes  ment?	
Current Year by Start of 2023  QE/Vc.  E.IV.c What modeling	ng is used to guide clear	i. No  ances around ii. Ign	lines and equip	ii. Yes  ii. Yes  ment?	
Current Year  Oy Start of 2023  QEIVC.  E.IV.c What modeling	ng is used to guide clear	i. No  ances around ii. Ign	lines and equip	ii. Yes  ii. Yes  ment?	
Current Year by Start of 2023  QEIVc. E.IV.c What modeling  Current Year by Start of 2023	ng is used to guide clear	i. No  ances around ii. Ign	lines and equip	ii. Yes  ii. Yes  ment?	
Current Year by Start of 2023  QEIVc. E.IV.c What modeling  Current Year by Start of 2023	ng is used to guide clear	i. No  ances around ii. Ign	lines and equip	ii. Yes  oment?  risk  iii. Nor	ne of the above
Current Year by Start of 2023  QEIVc. E.IV.c What modeling  Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Ignition risk mode	i. No  ances around ii. Ign	lines and equip	ii. Yes  ment?  risk  iii. Nor	ne of the above
E.IV.b Does utility m  Current Year by Start of 2023  QEIVc. E.IV.c What modeling  Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Ignition risk mode	i. No  ances around ii. Ign eling  guide clearanc ii. Sp specie	lines and equip tion and propagation modeling  es around lines becies growth rates ares limb failure rates, cr	ii. Yes  ment?  risk iii. Nor  and equipmer	ne of the above
Current Year by Start of 2023  QEIVC. E.IV.c What modeling  Current Year by Start of 2023  QEIVd. E.IV.d What biologic	i. Ignition risk mode	i. No  ances around ii. Ign eling  guide clearanc ii. S <sub> </sub> specie es and reference	lines and equip tion and propagation modeling  es around lines	ii. Yes  ment?  risk iii. Nor  and equipmer	ne of the above
E.IV.b Does utility m  Current Year by Start of 2023  QEIVc. E.IV.c What modeling  Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Ignition risk mode	i. No  ances around ii. Ign eling  guide clearanc ii. S <sub> </sub> specie es and reference	tion and propagation modeling  es around lines  escies growth rates are slimb failure rates, creed with local climatolocal	ii. Yes  ment?  risk iii. Nor  and equipmer	ne of the above

E.IV.e	Are community	organizations	engaged in	setting local	clearances and	protocols?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•

#### QEIVf.

#### E.IV.f Does the utility remove vegetation waste along its right of way across the entire grid?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	$\circ$	•

#### QEIVg.

### E.IV.g How long after cutting vegetation does the utility remove vegetation waste along right of way?

	i. Not at all	ii. Longer than 1 week	iii. Within 1 week or less	iv. On the same day
Current Year		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	•
by Start of 2023		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	•

#### QEIVh.

## E.IV.h Does the utility work with local landowners to provide a cost-effective use for cutting vegetation?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•

#### QEIVi.

## E.IV.i Does the utility work with partners to identify new cost-effective uses for vegetation, taking into consideration environmental impacts and emissions of vegetation waste?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•

EV.

### E.V Vegetation fall-in mitigation

	i. Utility <b>does not remove</b> vegetation outside of right of way	ii. Utility <b>removes some</b> vegetation outside of right of ways	iii. Utility systematically removes vegetation outside of right of way	iv. Utility systematically removes vegetation outside of right of way, informing relevant communities of removal
Current Year				•
by Start of 2023				•
E.V.b How is pote	ntial vegetation that may po	ose a threat identifie	d?	
			iii. Based on the probability and	iv. Based on the probability and consequences of impact on electric lines and equipment as determined by risk modeling, as well as regular and accurate systematic inspections for high-risk trees
			probability and	outside the right of way

#### npact and mined s well curate tions es f way and equipment as place to systematically of trees with potential to climatological identify trees likely to make contact with electric determined by risk conditions contributing pose a risk lines and equipment modeling to increased risk **Current Year** by Start of 2023

QEVc.

E.V.c Is vegetation removed with cooperation from the community?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•

QEVd.

E.V.d Does the utility remove vegetation waste outside its right of way across the entire grid?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•

QEVe.

E.V.e How long after cutting vegetation does the utility remove vegetation waste outside its right of way?

i. Not at all	ii. Longer than 1 week	iii. Within 1 week or less	iv. On the same day
i. Hot at all	ii. Longer than I week	III. VVILIIII I WOOK OI 1000	iv. On the barne day

urrent real	_	_		
y Start of 2023	0	$\circ$		•
QEVf.				
	work with local landown	ers to provide a co	st-effective use for o	cutting
		No	ii N	Yes
urrent Year		<u> </u>		•
Start of 2023		0		•
	1			
QEVg.				
.V.g Does the utility	y work with partners to id			tation, taking into
onsideration enviro	onmentai impacts and em	issions of vegetation	ii waste?	
	i.	No	ii. `	Yes
				•
rrent Year	'			
Start of 2023  EVI.  QA/QC	C for vegetatio			
Start of 2023	C for vegetation			
Start of 2023  EVI.  E.VI QA/Q(  Capability	C for vegetation			
Start of 2023  EVI.  E.VI QA/QC  Capability	C for vegetation	on maintena		
Start of 2023  EVI.  E.VI QA/QC  Capability	C for vegetation 26 actor and employee activi	on maintena	iii. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to semiautomated audits using	iv. Through an established and demonstrably functionir audit process to manag and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to automated audits using
Start of 2023  EVI.  E.VI QA/QC  Capability	C for vegetation 26	on maintena	iii. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to semi-	iv. Through an established and demonstrably functionin audit process to manag and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to automated audits using
Start of 2023  EVI.  E.VI QA/QC  Capability	i. Lack of controls for auditing work completed, including inspections, for employees or	ii. Through an established and functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed	iii. Through an established and demonstrably functioning audit process to manage and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to semi- automated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g., LiDAR scans,	iv. Through an established and demonstrably functionin audit process to manag and confirm work completed by subcontractors, where contractor activity is subject to automated audits using technologies capable of sampling the contractor's work (e.g.

QEVIb.

E.VI.b Do contractors follow the same processes and standards as utility's own employees?

	i	i. No			ii. `	Yes
Current Year		0			(	•
by Start of 2023		$\bigcirc$			(	•
QEVIc. E.VI.c How frequently is 0 performance and inspecti		used to iden	-		in quality c	of work
	i. Never	ii. Sporadically	iii. On ar bas		iv. Regularl	y v. Real-time
Current Year	0				•	0
by Start of 2023	0	$\circ$			•	
QEVId. E.VI.d How is work and in	nspections that do	not meet util	ity-presc			iv. QA/QC information is
•	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or low-quality work	ii. QA/QC infor used to id systemic defic	mation is entify iencies in ork and	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and re- training	information is entify systemic as in quality of inspections, commend a based on knesses	
•	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or	ii. <b>QA/QC info</b> r used to id systemic defic quality of wo	mation is entify iencies in ork and	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and re- training	information is entify systemic es in quality of inspections, commend p based on	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training
E.VI.d How is work and in	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or	ii. <b>QA/QC infor used to id systemic defic</b> quality of wo	mation is entify iencies in ork and	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and re- training	information is entify systemic as in quality of inspections, commend a based on knesses	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training
E.VI.d How is work and in	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or low-quality work	ii. QA/QC inforused to id systemic deficition quality of we inspection	mation is entify iencies in ork and ons	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and re training weal	information is entify systemic es in quality of inspections, commend g based on knesses	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training based on weaknesses
Current Year by Start of 2023  QEVIe. E.VI.e Are workforce man subcontractors?	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or low-quality work	ii. QA/QC inforused to id systemic deficion quality of we inspection to the color used to the color used to	mation is entify iencies in ork and ons	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and re training weal	information is entify systemic es in quality of inspections, commend g based on knesses	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training based on weaknesses
Current Year by Start of 2023  QEVIe. E.VI.e Are workforce man	i. Lack of effective remediation for ineffective inspections or low-quality work	ii. QA/QC inforused to id systemic deficition quality of we inspection	mation is entify iencies in ork and ons	iii. QA/QC used to ide deficiencie work and and re training weal	information is entify systemic as in quality of inspections, commend a based on knesses  nfirm work	iv. QA/QC information is used to identify systemic deficiencies in quality of work and inspections, grade individuals, and recommend specific premade and tested training based on weaknesses

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year		•
by Start of 2023		•

# F. Grid operations and protocols

### F.I Protective equipment and device settings Capability 27

F.I.a How are grid elements adjusted during high threat weather conditions?

•	i. Utility does not make changes to adjustable equipment in response to high wildfire threat conditions	ii. Utility increases sensitivity of risk reduction elements during high threat weather conditions	iii. Utility increases sensitivity of risk reduction elements during high threat weather conditions and monitors near misses	iv. Utility increases sensitivity of risk reduction elements during high threat weather conditions <b>based on risk</b> <b>mapping</b> and monitors near misses
Current Year				
by Start of 2023	0	0	0	•
QFlb. F.I.b Is there an automateffectiveness? Clarification: For clarification automation in Table 2 of to compare to the comp	on on level of automati he Maturity Model. (i) ii	ion please refer to the	e 'level of systematiza	ation and
	i. No automated prod	cess ii. Partially auto	omated process iii. F	fully automated process
Current Year		(	•	
by Start of 2023		(	•	
F.I.c Is there a predeterr elements?		by fire conditions f	, ,	ivity of grid  Yes
Current Year		0		•
by Start of 2023		0		•
.,				
F.II Incorpora Capability 28  QFIIa. F.II.a Does the utility have beyond current or voltage		process for determ		erate the grid
Current Year	1. NO	•	11. 1	
by Start of 2023				
2, Start 01 2020				

	i. N	No		ii. Yes
Current Year		)		•
y Start of 2023				•
QFIIc.		4 4 <sup>1</sup> 4 4h	adad life and	d males a suite mant
F.II.c Does the utility use maintenance, rebuild, or reviewed?				
	i. Modeling is not		ng is used, but not by external experts	iii. Modeling is used, and the model is evaluated by externa experts and verified by historical data
Current Year			•	
y Start of 2023			•	0
·	tility operate the grid			oad?
Q <i>FIId.</i> F.II.d When does the u	tility operate the grid			oad?
·	tility operate the grid  i. During any condi	ii. Only in	ge and current le	oad? iii. Never
F.II.d When does the u		ii. Only in	conditions that are	
F.II.d When does the un	i. During any condi	ii. Only in	conditions that are	iii. Never
·	i. During any condi	ii. Only in unlikely	conditions that are to cause wildfire	iii. Never
F.II.d When does the uncurrent Year by Start of 2023  F.III. PSPS of Capability 29  QFIIIa.	i. During any condi	ii. Only in unlikely	conditions that are to cause wildfire	iii. Never  iii. Never  iii. Never  iv. PSPS event generall forecasted er than accurately with fewer the being 25% of predictions bein
urrent Year y Start of 2023  F.III PSPS of Capability 29	i. During any condi	ii. Only in unlikely  d consequ  ng?  ii. PSPS event generally forecasted accurately with fewer than 50% of predictions being false	conditions that are to cause wildfire  ence mit  iii. PSPS event ger forecasted accurately with fewer 33% of predictions	iii. Never  iii. Never  iii. Never  iv. PSPS event generall forecasted er than accurately with fewer the being 25% of predictions bein

QFIIIb.

	i. Affected customers are poorly communicated to, with a significant portion not communicated to at all	ii. PSPS event are communicated to >95% of affected customers and >99% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action	iii. PSPS event are communicated to >98% of affected customers and >99.5% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action	iv. PSPS event are communicated to >99% of affected customers and >99.9% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action	v. PSPS event are communicated to >99.9% of affected customers and 100% of medical baseline customers in advance of PSPS action
Current Year					
by Start of 2023	0	0	0	0	•
QFIIIc. F.III.c During PSPS eve	nts, what percent	t of customers c	omplain?		
	i. 1% or mo	ore	ii. Less than 1%	ili. Les	s than 0.5%
Current Year	0		0		•
by Start of 2023	0				•
Current Year by Start of 2023		i. No		ii. Yes	
QFIIIe. F.III.e During PSPS eve			iii. Less than 0.5	iv. Less than 0.25	v. Less than 0.1
Current Year	1. More than 1 not	ur ii. Less than 1 hou	n hours	hours	hours
by Start of 2023		0	•	0	0
QFIIIf. F.III.f Are specific resouproviding backup gene			leviate the impa	ct of the power s	shutoff (e.g.,
processing second gone	,	•			
Current Veer		i. No		ii. Yes	
Current Year		0		•	
by Start of 2023				•	
FI\/					

## **F.IV Protocols for PSPS invitation**

### QFIVa.

**Current Year** 

by Start of 2023

	i. Utility has <b>no clearly exp</b> l <b>threshold</b> for PSPS activa		exp whi ma risl PS icit policies and the thresholds S is activated as a	Utility has explicit policies and planation for the thresholds above ich PSPS is activated, but sintains grid in sufficiently low k condition to not require any PS activity, though may deergize specific circuits upon tection of damaged condition electrical lines and equipment, contact with foreign objects
Current Year	0			0
by Start of 2023				
QFIVb. F.IV.b Which of the folloall that apply	owing does the utility	take into account w	ii. A partially autom	PS decisions? Select  nated system which recommends PSPS should be activated and is
	i. SMI	E opinion		lidated by SMEs
Current Year				•
by Start of 2023		<b>✓</b>		
QFIVc. F.IV.c Under which circ		ii. When circuit presents a	iii. When equipment come into contact w foreign objects posi ignition risk	has vith
Current Year	•	•	<u> </u>	•
by Start of 2023	•	•	•	•
QFIVd. F.IV.d Given the conditi PSPS events affecting Clarification: For the 'Cui of 2023' response option	more than 10,000 peo rent Year' response op	ple to occur in the otton, please take "the ng year" as 2023.	coming year?	

 $\bigcirc$ 

•

•

## F.V Protocols for PSPS re-energization

## Capability 31

	i. <b>Inadequate process</b> for inspecting de- energized sections of the grid prior to re- energization	ii. Existing process for accurately inspecting de- energized sections of the grid prior to re- energization	
Current Year	0	•	0
by Start of 2023			
energization?	s the process for inspecting	_	
F.V.b How automated in energization?  Clarification: For explanautomation in Table 2 of the second s	ation on level of automation pf the Maturity Model. (i) in this level 3; and (iv) corresponds  i. Manual process, not	please refer to the 'level of system case corresponds to level	ystematization and 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 stly iv. Primarily automated,
F.V.b How automated in energization?  Clarification: For explanautomation in Table 2 of the second s	ation on level of automation pf the Maturity Model. (i) in this level 3; and (iv) corresponds  i. Manual process, not	please refer to the 'level of s's case corresponds to level to level 4	ystematization and 0; (ii) corresponds to level 1 stly iv. Primarily automated,

F.V.c What is the average amount of time that it takes you to re-energize your grid from a PSPS once weather has subsided to below your de-energization threshold?

	i. Longer than 24 hours	ii. Within 24 hours	iii. Within 18 hours	iv. Within 12 hours	v. Within 8 hours
Current Year	0	0	0		•
by Start of 2023					

F.V.d What level of understanding of probability of ignitions after PSPS events does the utility have across the grid?

	i. No probability estimate of after event ignitions	ii. Some probability estimates exist	iii. Utility has accurate quantitative understanding of ignition risk following re- energization, by asset, validated by historical data and near misses
Current Year	0	0	•
y Start of 2023	0		•

## F.VI Ignition prevention and suppression

### Capability 32

$\sim$	┍╵	//	_
w	_	V I	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$

F.VI.a Does the utility have defined policies around the role of workers in suppressing ignitions?

	i. Utility has <b>no policies</b> governing what crews' roles are in suppressing ignitions	ii. Utilities have <b>explicit policies</b> about the role of crews at the site of ignition	iii. Utilities have explicit policies about the role of crews, <b>including contractors and subcontractors</b> , at the site of ignition
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023	0	$\circ$	•

#### QFVIb.

F.VI.b What training and tools are provided to workers in the field?

	i. Crews are untrained	ii. Training and communications tools are provided to immediately report ignitions caused by workers or in immediate vicinity of workers	iii. All criteria in option (ii) met; In addition, suppression tools and training to suppress small ignitions caused by workers or in immediate vicinity of workers are provided	iv. All criteria in option (iii) met; In addition, communication tools function without cell reception and training by suppression professionals is provided	v. All criteria in option (iv) met and apply to contractors as well as utility workers
Current Year	0	0	•	0	0
by Start of 2023			•		

#### **OFVIc**

F.VI.c In the events where workers have encountered an ignition, have any Cal/OSHA reported injuries or fatalities occurred in in the last year?

<u>Clarification</u>: For this year, please identify whether any major injuries or fatalities have occurred in 2020. For three years from now, please specify whether you think there is a chance that major injuries or fatalities could occur in 2023.

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	•	
by Start of 2023	•	

#### QFVId.

F.VI.d Does the utility provide training to other workers at other utilities and outside the utility industry on best practices to minimize, report and suppress ignitions?

<u>Clarification</u>: An example of workers outside utility industry might be workers at a vegetation management company who prune trees near utility equipment

urrent Year  / Start of 2023  G.	0		
			•
G.			
G.			
G. Data g	overnance		
GI.			
	lection and cur	ration	
Capability 3			
QGla.	ave a centralized database	of situational, operational, a	nd risk data?
Clarification: Question is		alizes most of its situational, op	
single database			
	i. No		ii. Yes
rrent Year	•		
Start of 2023	0		•
•	4 adv		of city of const
S.I.b Is the utility able	to use advanced analytics ata to make operational an	on its centralized database of investment decisions?	of situational,
S.I.b Is the utility able pperational, and risk declarification: In this case	ata to make operational and, advanced analytics refers t	d investment decisions? to analysis integrating different	types of data from this
pperational, and risk d Clarification: In this case centralized database in	ata to make operational and, advanced analytics refers t	d investment decisions? to analysis integrating different create a detailed, quantitative a	types of data from this
G.I.b Is the utility able perational, and risk delarification: In this case entralized database in	ata to make operational and an advanced analytics refers to a sufficiently reliable way to a in operational or investment	d investment decisions? to analysis integrating different create a detailed, quantitative a decisions  ii. Yes, but only for short term	types of data from this and holistic picture of
6.I.b Is the utility able perational, and risk delarification: In this case tentralized database in	ata to make operational and a control and a	d investment decisions? to analysis integrating different create a detailed, quantitative a decisions	types of data from this and holistic picture of

	abase of situational, operation tocols with a wide variety of s		ole to ingest and share data
	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year	•		0
by Start of 2023	•		
QGle. G.l.e Does the utility id	entify highest priority additio	nal data sources to	iii. Yes, with plans to incorporate
	i. No	ii. Yes	these into centralized database of situational, operational and risk data
Current Year	0	•	0
by Start of 2023	0	•	
QGIf. G.I.f Does the utility sh California and beyond?		-	iii. Yes, with specific processes to do
Current Voor	i. No	ii. Yes	so in place
Current Year by Start of 2023	0	•	
GII.  G.II Data trai  Capability 34	nsparency and a	nalytics	
QGIIa. G.II.a Is there a single oprocesses?	document cataloguing all fire	-related data and al	gorithms, analyses, and data
Current Year	1. NO		ii. res
by Start of 2023			•
QGIIb. G.II.b Is there an explainingle document catalogue.	nation of the sources, cleaning?	ng processes, and a	ssumptions made in the
	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year	•		0

•

by Start of 2023

QGIIc.

G.II.c Are all analyses, algorithms, and data processing explained and documented? Is there a system for sharing data in real time across multiple levels of permissions?

	Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are not documented	ii. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing <b>are</b> documented	iii. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are documented and explained	iv. Analyses, algorithms, and data processing are documented and explained, including sensitivities for each type of analysis and data
Current Year	0	•	$\circ$	0
by Start of 2023	0			

QGIId.

G.II.d Is there a system for sharing data in real time across multiple levels of permissions?

	No system capable of sharing data in real time across multiple levels of permissions	ii. System is capable of sharing across at least two levels of permissions, including a.) utility- regulator permissions, and b.) first responder permissions	iii. System is capable of sharing across at least three levels of permissions, including a.) utility-regulator permissions, b.) first responder permissions, and c.) public data sharing
Current Year	•	0	0
by Start of 2023			•

QGIIe.

G.II.e Are the most relevant wildfire related data algorithms disclosed?

<u>Clarification</u>: Question is asking whether <u>all</u> algorithms or decision making process used to inform decision making around investment choices, risk mitigation choices, and emergency response are disclosed

	i. No	<ul><li>ii. Yes, disclosed to regulators and other relevant stakeholders upon request</li></ul>	iii. Yes, disclosed publicly in WMP upon request	<ul><li>iv. Disclosed publicly as information becomes available (regardless of regulatory request)</li></ul>
Current Year	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023			•	

GIII.

## **G.III Near-miss tracking**

Capability 35

QGIIIa.

G.III.a Does the utility track near miss data for all near misses with wildfire ignition potential?

Clarification: Recall that near miss is defined as an event with significant probability of ignition, including wires down, contacts with objects, line slap, events with evidence of significant heat generation, and other events that cause sparking or have the potential to cause ignition.

	i. No	ii. Yes
urrent Year		•
Start of 2023		•
QGIIIb.		
	s data captured, is the utility able to s naracteristics, fuel loads, and moistu	
	i. No	ii. Yes
ırrent Year	0	ii. 165
Start of 2023		•
QGIIIc.		
	ture data related to the specific mode	e of failure when capturing near- miss
	i No	ii Vae
rrent Year	i. No	ii. Yes
		•
urrent Year Start of 2023		
Start of 2023 QGIIId.		<ul><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Start of 2023  QGIIId.  G.III.d Is the utility able to		•
Start of 2023  OGIIId.  Sillid is the utility able to		<ul><li>•</li><li>•</li></ul>
Start of 2023  PGIIId.  Is the utility able to fevent characteristics?	predict the probability of a near miss	● ● s in causing an ignition based on a se
Start of 2023  QGIIId.  G.III.d Is the utility able to f event characteristics?	predict the probability of a near miss	s in causing an ignition based on a se  ii. Yes
Start of 2023  QGIIId.  G.III.d Is the utility able to of event characteristics?  Irrent Year Start of 2023	predict the probability of a near miss	s in causing an ignition based on a se  ii. Yes
QG/IId. Gill.d Is the utility able to of event characteristics?  arrent Year Start of 2023	predict the probability of a near miss	ii. Yes
Start of 2023  QGIIId.  G.III.d Is the utility able to of event characteristics?  Irrent Year Start of 2023	predict the probability of a near miss  i. No  de data from near misses to change gri	ii. Yes  od operation protocols in real time?
Start of 2023  OGIIId.  G.III.d Is the utility able to f event characteristics?  Trent Year  Start of 2023	predict the probability of a near miss	ii. Yes

GIV.

# **G.IV** Data sharing with the research community Capability 36

Current Year by Start of 2023  GIV.b Does the utility in engage in research? Clarification: Here, research broadly refers to collaborative research (e.g. with other utilities, academics, or the government) or to independent research where the findings are made available outside parties (such as academics, other utilities, the government or the public).  Li Utility does not participate in collaborative research where the findings are made available outside parties (such as academics, other utilities, the government or the public).  Li Utility does not participate in collaborative research where the findings are made available outside parties (such as academics, other utilities, the government or the public).  Current Year  By Start of 2023  Li Utility goes not collaborative research address?  Li Utility ignited wildfires in the independent and collaborative research and participates in both independent and collaborative research and participates in both independent and collaborative research and collaborat			ii. Utility make		iii. Utility makes required disclosures <b>and shares data</b>
QGIVb. G.IV.b Does the utility in engage in research? Clarification: Here, 'research broadly refers to collaborative research (e.g. with other utilities, academics, or the government) or to independent research where the findings are made available outside parties (such as academics, other utilities, the government or the public).    I. Utility does not participate in collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research, where the collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research, where the participate in collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research, where the participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both independent and collaborative research   III. Utility funds and participates in both indepen		i. Utility fails to make disclosures	*		
GIVb. G.IV.b Does the utility in engage in research? Clarification: Here, 'research' broadly refers to collaborative research (e.g. with other utilities, academics, or the government) or to independent research where the findings are made available outside parties (such as academics, other utilities, the government or the public).    I. Utility does not participate in collaborative research independent and participates in both independent and participates in collaborative research independent and collaborative research where possible, is abstracted and applied to other utilities.    Current Year	Current Year	0	C	)	•
G.IN.b Does the utility in engage in research? Clarification: Here, "research" broadly refers to collaborative research (e.g. with other utilities, academics, or the government) or to independent research where the findings are made available outside parties (such as academics, other utilities, the government or the public).    Interpretation	by Start of 2023	0	C	)	•
i. Utility does not participate in both independent and collaborative research, and ensures that research, and ensures that research where possible, is abstracted and applied to other utilities  Current Year by Start of 2023  ii. Utility ignited wildfires  iii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives  iii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives  iii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives  iii. None of the above  Current Year by Start of 2023  iii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives  iii. None of the above  Current Year by Start of 2023  iii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives  iii. None of the above  Current Year by Start of 2023  iii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives  iii. None of the above  Current Year by Start of 2023  iii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives  iii. None of the above  Current Year by Start of 2023  iii. None of the above  iii. None of the	G.IV.b Does the utility in Clarification: Here, 'reseathe government) or to income	arch' broadly refers to collabo dependent research where th	e findings are n		
QGIVC. G.IV.c What subjects does utility research address?  i. Utility ignited wildfires reduction initiatives iii. None of the above  Current Year by Start of 2023  QGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility promote best practices based on latest independent scientific and operational research? Clarification: Promoting best practices could take various forms – for example, writing and publicly releasing a report or detailing results achieved when a new method of tool was piloted, including which techniques were more or less effective  i. No  ii. Yes  Current Year		<b>participate</b> in ii. Utilii		participates in both independent and	participates in both independent and collaborative research, and ensures that research, where possible, is abstracted and applied to other
QGIVC. G.IV.c What subjects does utility research address?  ii. Utility ignited wildfires iii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives iii. None of the above  Current Year by Start of 2023  QGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility promote best practices based on latest independent scientific and operational research? Clarification: Promoting best practices could take various forms – for example, writing and publicly releasing a report or detailing results achieved when a new method of tool was piloted, including which techniques were more or less effective  i. No  ii. Yes  Current Year	Current Year	0		$\circ$	•
G.IV.c What subjects does utility research address?  i. Utility ignited wildfires ii. Utility ignited wildfires and risk reduction initiatives iii. None of the above  Current Year by Start of 2023  QGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility promote best practices based on latest independent scientific and operational research? Clarification: Promoting best practices could take various forms – for example, writing and publicly releasing a report or detailing results achieved when a new method of tool was piloted, including which techniques were more or less effective  i. No ii. Yes  Current Year	by Start of 2023	0	$\bigcirc$		•
Dy Start of 2023  QGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility promote best practices based on latest independent scientific and operational research? Clarification: Promoting best practices could take various forms – for example, writing and publicly releasing a report or detailing results achieved when a new method of tool was piloted, including which techniques were more or less effective  i. No  ii. Yes  Current Year					
QGIVd.  G.IV.d Does the utility promote best practices based on latest independent scientific and operational research?  Clarification: Promoting best practices could take various forms – for example, writing and publicly releasing a report or detailing results achieved when a new method of tool was piloted, including which techniques were more or less effective  i. No  ii. Yes  Current Year	·	- 	ii. Utility ignited w		iii. None of the above
G.IV.d Does the utility promote best practices based on latest independent scientific and operational research?  Clarification: Promoting best practices could take various forms – for example, writing and publicly releasing a report or detailing results achieved when a new method of tool was piloted, including which techniques were more or less effective  i. No  ii. Yes  Current Year	G.IV.c What subjects d	- 	ii. Utility ignited w	nitiatives	iii. None of the above
Current Year	G.IV.c What subjects d	- 	ii. Utility ignited w reduction i	nitiatives	0
	Current Year by Start of 2023  QGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility presearch? Clarification: Promoting breport or detailing results	i. Utility ignited wildfires  promote best practices base pest practices could take varie achieved when a new method	ii. Utility ignited w reduction i	dependent scier example, writing	tific and operational and publicly releasing a which techniques were
	Current Year by Start of 2023  QGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility presearch? Clarification: Promoting breport or detailing results more or less effective	i. Utility ignited wildfires  promote best practices base pest practices could take varie achieved when a new method i. No	ii. Utility ignited w reduction i	dependent scier example, writing	and publicly releasing a which techniques were
	Current Year by Start of 2023  QGIVd. G.IV.d Does the utility presearch? Clarification: Promoting breport or detailing results more or less effective  Current Year	i. Utility ignited wildfires  promote best practices base pest practices could take varie achieved when a new method i. No	ii. Utility ignited w reduction i	dependent scier example, writing	tific and operational and publicly releasing a which techniques were  ii. Yes

Н.

## H. Resource allocation methodology

## H.I Scenario analysis across different risk levels

### Capability 37

Q	ŀ	11	la

H.I.a For what risk scenarios is the utility able to provide projected cost and total risk reduction potential?

	Utility does not project proposed initiatives or costs across different levels of risk scenarios	ii. Utility provides an accurate high- risk reduction and low risk reduction scenario, and the projected cost and total risk reduction potential	iii. Utility provides an accurate high- risk reduction and low risk reduction scenario, in addition to their proposed scenario, and the projected cost and total risk reduction potential
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023	0		•

### QHIb.

H.I.b For what level of granularity is the utility able to provide projections for each scenario?

	i. Territory-level or greater	ii. Region level	iii. Circuit level	iv. Span level	v. Asset level
Current Year		$\bigcirc$		•	
by Start of 2023					•

#### QHIc.

H.I.c Does the utility include a long term (e.g., 6-10 year) risk estimate taking into account macro factors (climate change, etc.) as well as planned risk reduction initiatives in its scenarios?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	•	
by Start of 2023	•	

### QHId.

H.I.d Does the utility provide an estimate of impact on reliability factors in its scenarios? Clarification: Reliability factors here refer to factors impacting reliability of service to customers

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•

# H.II Presentation of relative risk spend efficiency for portfolio of initiatives

Capability 38

		i. No		ii. Yes	
Current Year		$\circ$			
by Start of 2023		0	•		
QHIIb. H.II.b What initiative	s are captured in the	ranking of risk spend e	efficiency?		
	i. Common commercial initiatives	ii. All commercial initiatives	iii. All commerc initiatives and emo initiatives	erging	iv. None of the above
Current Year	0	•	0		0
by Ctart of 2022					
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility		resent value cost and إ tions (e.g. useful life, d			mpact of each
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility initiative, clearly doc		i. No		etc.)?	mpact of each
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility initiative, clearly doc		tions (e.g. useful life, d		etc.)?	mpact of each
H.II.c Does the utility initiative, clearly doc  Current Year by Start of 2023  QHIId.  H.II.d Does the utility	provide an explanati	i. No	in each partic	ii. Yes  o  vular initi	ative?
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility initiative, clearly doc  Current Year by Start of 2023  QHIId. H.II.d Does the utility	provide an explanati	i. No  on of their investment	in each partic ty of service to	ii. Yes  ular initicustomer  iii. Yes, inoverall re	ative?
QHIIc. H.II.c Does the utility initiative, clearly doc  Current Year by Start of 2023  QHIId. H.II.d Does the utility	provide an explanati	i. No  on of their investment actors impacting reliability  ii. Yes, including	in each partic ty of service to g the expected tion in risk	ii. Yes  ular initicustomer  iii. Yes, inoverall re	ative? 'S cluding the expected eduction in risk and of impact on reliabilit

H.II.e At what level of granularity is the utility able to provide risk efficiency figures?

i. Territory-level or greater ii. Region level iii. Circuit level iv. Span level v. Asset level

		$\circ$	
for dotorm	ining rick	anand offi	icionov of
	•	-	iciency of
anagement	initiatives		
a risk spend efficier	ncy calculation can	the utility provide	9?
i Utility has <b>no clear</b>			iv. Utility has accurate
understanding of the			ate quantitative understanding
efficiency of various			of cost, <b>including</b> tand <b>sensitivities</b> and
clearances and types of vegetation management		•	
initiatives	estimate	efficiency estimate	
0	•		
n estimates he nrena	red?		
ir commuted be prope			
i. Less granular than	" Pastanal " O'a		- hand a second hand
regional, or not at all	II. Regional III. Circ	<u> </u>	n-based v. Asset-based
	0		
	0		
aro ostimatos undato	.42		
are estimates update	d?		
are estimates update i. Never		ntly than annually	iii. Annually or more frequently
•		ntly than annually	iii. Annually or more frequently <u>●</u>
i. Never			
i. Never			•
i. Never			•
i. Never			•
i. Never	ii. Less freque		•
i. Never	ii. Less freque		•
i. Never	ii. Less freque	include within its	• • evaluation?  v. All, supported by
i. Never	ii. Less freque		e evaluation?  v. All, supported by independent testing
	i. Utility has no clear understanding of the relative risk spend efficiency of various clearances and types of vegetation management initiatives	i. Utility has no clear understanding of the relative risk spend efficiency of various clearances and types of vegetation management initiatives  i. Utility has no clear understanding of the relative risk spend efficiency of various clearances and types of vegetation management initiatives  i. Less granular than	i. Utility has no clear understanding of the relative risk spend efficiency of various clearances and types of vegetation management initiatives  i. Utility has an accurate relative understanding of the cost and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate  ii. Utility has an accurate relative understanding of the cost and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate  in estimates be prepared?  i. Less granular than

H.III.e Can the utilit	y evaluate risk reduct	on synergies from	combination of	f various initiatives?
------------------------	------------------------	-------------------	----------------	------------------------

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year		•
by Start of 2023	0	•

HIV.

# H.IV Process for determining risk spend efficiency of system hardening initiatives

Capability 40

QHIVa.

H.IV.a How accurate of a risk spend efficiency calculation can the utility provide?

	i. Utility has <b>no clear understanding</b> of the relative risk spend efficiency of hardening initiatives	ii. Utility has an accurate relative understanding of the cost and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate	understanding of cost and	iv. Utility has accurate quantitative understanding of cost, including sensitivities and effectiveness to produce a reliable risk spend efficiency estimate
Current Year	0	$\bigcirc$	•	0
by Start of 2023		$\bigcirc$	•	

QHIVb.

H.IV.b At what level can estimates be prepared?

	i. Less granular than regional, or not at all	ii. Regional	iii. Circuit-based	iv. Span-based	v. Asset-based
Current Year	0				•
by Start of 2023					•

QHIVc.

H.IV.c How frequently are estimates updated?

	i. Never	ii. Less frequently than annually	iii. Annually or more frequently
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023	0		•

QHIVd.

H.IV.d What grid hardening initiatives are included in the utility risk spend efficiency analysis?

	i. None h	ii. Some commercially available grid ardening initiatives	iii. <b>Most</b> commercially available grid hardening initiatives	iv. <b>All</b> commercia available grid hardening initiation	those initiatives
Current Year	0				0
y Start of 2023	0	0	0	•	0
Q <i>HIVe.</i> H.IV.e Can the utility e	valuate risk reduct	ion effects fro	m the combina	tion of various	initiatives?
		i. No		ii. Y	es
Current Year		•		C	)
y Start of 2023				•	)
HV. HV Portfolia	o-wide init	iative al	location	method	ology
Capability 41					
Capability 41  QHVa.  H.V.a To what extent do		ate capital to i	nitiatives base	d on risk-spend	d efficiency
Capability 41  QHVa.  H.V.a To what extent do		ii. Utility con e estimates of F	iii. A estimate are us capital catego nsiders choose t RSE when manage	d on risk-spendaccurate RSE as for all initiatives allocation within ries only (e.g. to the best vegetation ment management and initiative)	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid hardening)
Capability 41  QHVa. H.V.a To what extent do (RSE)?	nes the utility alloc	ii. Utility con e estimates of F	iii. A estimate are us capital catego nsiders choose t RSE when manage	accurate RSE us for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within ries only (e.g. to the best vegetation ment management	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid
Capability 41  QHVa.  H.V.a To what extent do (RSE)?	nes the utility alloc	ii. Utility con e estimates of F	iii. A estimate are us capital catego nsiders choose t RSE when manage	accurate RSE us for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within ries only (e.g. to the best vegetation ment management and initiative)	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid
	i. Utility does not base capital allocation on RS	ii. Utility con e estimates of F SE allocating o	iii. A estimate are us capital catego nsiders choose t RSE when manage	accurate RSE se for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within ries only (e.g. to the best vegetation ment management and initiative)	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid hardening)
Capability 41  QHVa. H.V.a To what extent do (RSE)?  Current Year by Start of 2023	i. Utility does not base capital allocation on RS	ii. Utility core estimates of FSE allocating of the into accours ii. Sprinclusting of RSE by	iii. A estimate are us capital catego nsiders choose t RSE when manage	accurate RSE ses for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within ries only (e.g. to the best vegetation ment management ad initiative)  ting RSE estim  nitiative, iii. Specific at the assignifications will be specific a	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid hardening)
Capability 41  QHVa. H.V.a To what extent do (RSE)?  Current Year y Start of 2023	i. Utility does not base capital allocation on RS	ii. Utility core estimates of FSE allocating of the into accours ii. Sprinclusting of RSE by	iii. A estimate are us capital catego nsiders choose t RSE when manage capital ar  ecific information by i uding state of equipme ation where initiative	accurate RSE ses for all initiatives ed to determine allocation within ries only (e.g. to the best vegetation ment management ad initiative)  ting RSE estim  nitiative, iii. Specific at the assignifications will be specific a	iv. Accurate RSE estimates for all initiatives are used to determine capital allocation across portfolio (e.g. prioritizing between vegetation management and grid hardening)  nates?  fic information by initiative set level, including state of assets and location where

	i. Utility does not verif		es are verified by erimental pilot data	historica and c	estimates are verified by il or experimental pilot data onfirmed by independent ts or other utilities in CA
Current Year			•		
by Start of 2023	0		$\circ$		
QHVd. H.V.d Does the utility ta making spending decis	ions?		reliability, and		
Name of West	I	No		ii. Y	
urrent Year y Start of 2023				(	
H.VI Portfolio Capability 42  QHVIa. H.VI.a How does the ut					
	i. No program in place	ii. Utility uses <b>pilots and</b> <b>measures</b> direct reductior in ignition events	iii. Utility uses <b>pil</b> e <b>measures</b> direct r in ignition event near-misse	eduction ts and	iv. Utility uses pilots, followed by in-field testing measuring reduction in ignition events and nearmisses.
urrent Year			0		•
Start of 2023					•
QHVIb.  H.VI.b How does the uticle Clarification: TCO is total operation and maintenantevaluation of risk spend of the control	cost of ownership ov ce. In this question, t	er the expected useful otal cost of ownership	ul life of an asse refers to the sp	et, inclu	ding purchase,
	i. No prog	ram in place	ii. Utility u	ses total	cost of ownership
urrent Year		•			
Start of 2023					
QHVIc. H.VI.c At what level of (	granularity does the	utility measure the	efficacy of nev	w wildfi	re initiatives?
	i. None ii	. Entire territory iii.	Circuit	iv. Span	v. Asset
urrent Year	0	0	0	0	•
Start of 2023		$\bigcirc$			

Q	ப	1/	1~	ı
W	П	v	ıu	

### H.VI.d Are the reviews of innovative initiatives audited by independent parties?

<u>Clarification</u>: Reviews here refer to findings evaluating innovative initiatives which would assist another utility in making a decision about whether to implement that initiative and help them determine how to do so effectively. Criteria might include but are not limited to the following: technical feasibility, effectiveness, risk spend efficiency, ease of implementation and comparison to alternative options

	i. None	ii. Yes
Current Year	•	
by Start of 2023	•	$\bigcirc$

#### QHVIe.

H.VI.e Does the utility share the findings of its evaluation of innovative initiatives with other utilities, academia, and the general public?

	i. None	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023		•

1.

## I. Emergency planning and preparedness

11

## I.I Wildfire plan integrated with overall disaster/ emergency plan

Capability 43

QIIa.

### I.l.a Is the wildfire plan integrated with overall disaster and emergency plans?

<u>Clarification</u>: If the utility's wildfire mitigation plan is an integrated component of an overall disaster and emergency plan then the overall plan considers at least the compound effects of risks in both directions – for example, the additional risk of fire posed by an earthquake and how to manage any compounding effects

	i. No	ii. Wildfire plan is a component of overall plan	iii. Wildfire plan is an <b>integrated component</b> of overall plan
Current Year		$\circ$	•
by Start of 2023	$\circ$	$\circ$	•

QIIb.

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year		•
y Start of 2023		•
Q <i>llc.</i> .l.c Is the impact of confo planning process?	ounding events or multiple simultane	ous disasters considered in the
	i. No	ii. Yes
urrent Year	0	•
Start of 2023		•
NIId.		
	with disaster and emergency prepar RE, Fire Safe Councils, etc.)?	redness plans of other relevant
	i. No	ii. Yes
urrent Year	$\circ$	•
Ctort of 2022	$\bigcirc$	•
્રા/le. .l.e Does the utility take a	a leading role in planning, coordinatin	
Q//e. .l.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?	a leading role in planning, coordinatin	ng, and integrating plans across ii. Yes
Q//eI.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?	a leading role in planning, coordinatin	ng, and integrating plans across  ii. Yes
Q//e. I.I.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?	a leading role in planning, coordinatin	ng, and integrating plans across ii. Yes
Qlle. I.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?  urrent Year V Start of 2023	i. No	ng, and integrating plans across  ii. Yes
Q//e. I.I.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?  urrent Year y Start of 2023	i. No	ng, and integrating plans across  ii. Yes  •
Q/le. I.I.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?  Current Year by Start of 2023  III.  I.II Plan to res	i. No	ng, and integrating plans across  ii. Yes  •
Q//e. I.I.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?  urrent Year y Start of 2023	i. No	ng, and integrating plans across  ii. Yes  •
Q//e. I.I.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?  urrent Year y Start of 2023  III.  I.II Plan to res	i. No	ng, and integrating plans across  ii. Yes  •
Q//e. I.I.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?  urrent Year y Start of 2023  III.  I.II Plan to res	i. No	ng, and integrating plans across  ii. Yes  •
Q//e. I.I.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?  Surrent Year by Start of 2023  III.  I.II Plan to res  Capability 44  Q///a.  I.II.a Are there detailed an	i. No  i. No  tore service after wil	ng, and integrating plans across  ii. Yes  •
Q///e. I.I.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?  urrent Year y Start of 2023  III.  I.II Plan to res Capability 44  Q///a. I.II.a Are there detailed an	i. No  i. No  tore service after wil	ii. Yes  o  dfire related outage
Q//e. I.e Does the utility take a stakeholders?  urrent Year / Start of 2023  III.  I.II Plan to res Capability 44  Q///a. I.II.a Are there detailed an outage?	i. No  tore service after will  id actionable procedures in place to a	ii. Yes  Outage  restore service after a wildfire related  ii. Yes
Current Year by Start of 2023  III.  I.II Plan to res Capability 44  QIIIa.	i. No  tore service after will	ii. Yes  Outage  restore service after a wildfire related

$\circ$	1	11	lh	
w		,,	$\sim$	

I.II.b Are employee and subcontractor crews trained in, and aware of, plans?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year		•
by Start of 2023		•
QIIIc.		
	cedures to restore service after a wile	dfire-related outage customized?
·		•

	i. Territory-wide	ii. Region level	iii. Circuit level	iv. Span level	v. Asset level
Current Year	0	$\circ$	•		
by Start of 2023	0	$\bigcirc$	•	$\bigcirc$	

QIIId.

I.II.d Is the customized procedure to restore service based on topography, vegetation, and community needs?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year		•
by Start of 2023		•

QIIIe.

I.II.e Is there an inventory of high risk spend efficiency resources available for repairs? Clarification: Question is asking whether the resources, components and tools that the utility has available for

repairs, maintenance, and unexpected replacement are the most risk spend efficient options on the market

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•
by Start of 2023	0	•

## I.III Emergency community engagement during and after wildfire

Capability 45

QIIIIa.

I.III.a Does the utility provide clear and substantially complete communication of available information relevant to affected customers?

<u>Clarification</u>: Does the utility provide all available information which could be relevant to affected customers in a way that customers can receive in real time and easily understand?

	i. No		ii. Yes		ng with referrals to er agencies
urrent Year	0		0		•
Start of 2023			0		•
Q////b. .III.b What percent o	of affected customer	rs receive c	omplete details of a	vailable informa	tion?
	i. ≤95% of customers	ii. >95% of customers		iv. >99% of customers	v. >99.9% of customers
urrent Year	0			$\circ$	•
Start of 2023			0	0	•
Ollic. III.c What percent on formation?	i. ≤99% of medical baseline customers	ii. >99% of med baseline custor	iii. >99.5% of dical medical baseline	iv. >99.9% of medical baseline customers	v. 100% of medical baseline customers
rrent Year	0				
Start of 2023		0		0	•
Start of 2023  Q////d.  July 1.	i. Through availability evacuation information website and toll-free	helpful with  y of relevant n and links on e telephone	ii. Through availability of relevacuation information and liwebsite and toll-free telepl number, and assisting disresponse professionals	evant inks on none aster	ed to power
Start of 2023  Q////d.  III.d How does the outages to customer	utility assist where hrs?  i. Through availability evacuation information	helpful with  y of relevant n and links on e telephone	ii. Through availability of relevacuation information and liwebsite and toll-free telepl number, and assisting disresponse professionals requested	evant inks on none aster	•
Start of 2023  QIIIId. III.d How does the outages to customer	i. Through availability evacuation information website and toll-free	helpful with  y of relevant n and links on e telephone	ii. Through availability of relevacuation information and liwebsite and toll-free telepl number, and assisting disresponse professionals	evant inks on none aster	ed to power
urrent Year v Start of 2023	i. Through availability evacuation information website and toll-free	helpful with  y of relevant n and links on e telephone	ii. Through availability of relevacuation information and liwebsite and toll-free telepinumber, and assisting disresponse professionals requested	evant inks on none aster as iii. No	ne of the above emergency has detailed and
QIIIId. III.d How does the outages to customer  urrent Year Start of 2023  QIIIIe. IIII.e How does the situations?	i. Through availability evacuation information website and toll-free number	helpful with  y of relevant n and links on e telephone	ii. Through availability of relevacuation information and liwebsite and toll-free telepinumber, and assisting disresponse professionals requested	evant inks on none aster as iii. No	ne of the above
Start of 2023  Q////d.  July and the start of 2023  Q////e.  July and the start of 2023	i. Through availability evacuation information website and toll-free number  utility engage with o	helpful with  y of relevant n and links on e telephone	ii. Through availability of relevacuation information and liwebsite and toll-free telepl number, and assisting disresponse professionals requested  ency management a  ii. Utility engages with of	evant inks on none aster as iii. No	ne of the above  emergency  has detailed and stablished protocols ing with emergency

	i. No	ii. Yes
urrent Year	0	•
Start of 2023		•
V.		
	in place to learn fror	n wildfire events
Capability 46		
IIIVa.		
	place to record the outcome of emeings and potential process improven	
	i. No	ii. Yes
	1. 140	II. 103
rent Year		
		•
Start of 2023  Q//Vb.  IV.b Is there a defined pro		•
Start of 2023  OIIVb.  IV.b Is there a defined pro	ocess and staff responsible for incor	porating learnings into emerger
Start of 2023  Q//Vb.  IV.b Is there a defined problan?		porating learnings into emerger
Start of 2023  OliVb.  IV.b Is there a defined prolan?	ocess and staff responsible for incor	porating learnings into emerger  ii. Yes
Q//Vb. LIV.b Is there a defined problan?  urrent Year  Start of 2023	ocess and staff responsible for incor	porating learnings into emerger
Q//Vb. IV.b Is there a defined problan?  Urrent Year Start of 2023	ocess and staff responsible for incor	porating learnings into emerger  ii. Yes
Q//Vb.  IV.b Is there a defined problem?  urrent Year Start of 2023	i. No  on learnings and improvements, is t	porating learnings into emerger  ii. Yes
Start of 2023  OliVb.  IV.b Is there a defined prolan?  rrent Year Start of 2023  OliVc.  IV.c Once updated based	i. No  on learnings and improvements, is t	porating learnings into emerger  ii. Yes
Start of 2023  OliVb.  IV.b Is there a defined prolan?  Trent Year  Start of 2023  OliVc.  IV.c Once updated based	i. No  on learnings and improvements, is tweness?	porating learnings into emerger  ii. Yes  o  o  the updated plan tested using "o

I.IV.d Is there a defined process to solicit input from a variety of other stakeholders and incorporate learnings from other stakeholders into the emergency plan?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year	0	•

IV.

## I.V Processes for continuous improvement after wildfire and PSPS events

Capability 47

QIVa.

I.V.a Does the utility conduct an evaluation or debrief process after a wildfire?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year		•
by Start of 2023		•

QIVb.

I.V.b Does the utility conduct a customer survey and utilize partners to disseminate requests for stakeholder engagement?

	i. No	ii. One or the other	iii. Both
Current Year	0	0	•
by Start of 2023		$\circ$	

QIVc.

I.V.c In what other activities does the utility engage?

	i. None	ii. Public listening sessions	iii. Debriefs with partners	iv. Public listening sessions, debriefs with partners, and others
Current Year	0	0	0	•
by Start of 2023		$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	•

QIVd.

I.V.d Does the utility share with partners findings about what can be improved?

	i. No	ii. Yes
Current Year		•
by Start of 2023		•

QIVe.

I.V.e Are feedback and recommendations on potential improvements made public?

	i. No	ii. Yes
rrent Year	$\bigcirc$	•
Start of 2023		•
/Vf. /.f Does the utility cond dditional feedback on wh	uct proactive outreach to local agenc at can be improved?	ies and organizations to solicit
	i. No	ii. Yes
rent Year	0	•
start of 2023		•
IVg. I/g Does the utility have om all stakeholders?	a clear plan for post-event listening	and incorporating lessons learned
	i. No	ii. Yes
ent Year	I. No	II. Yes
Start of 2023		•
Nation (1902)  Nation		ons and report upon their impact? n customers, local agencies, PSPS event
Vh.  Vh.  In the interpretation of the extension of the e	the implementation of recommendations here refer to recommendations from	ons and report upon their impact? n customers, local agencies,
Start of 2023  IVh. I.h Does the utility track arification: Recommendat ganizations and other stale arent Year	the implementation of recommendations from the implementation of recommendations from the commendations from the commendation of the commendation	ons and report upon their impact? n customers, local agencies, PSPS event
IVh.  IVh.  I. Does the utility track larification: Recommendat ganizations and other stale rent Year	the implementation of recommendations here refer to recommendations from the contract of the c	ons and report upon their impact? n customers, local agencies, PSPS event
clarification: Recommendate rganizations and other stable rrent Year Start of 2023  Olivi.  V.i Does the utility have	the implementation of recommendations here refer to recommendations from the contract of the c	ons and report upon their impact? n customers, local agencies, PSPS event  ii. Yes
IVh.  V.h Does the utility track larification: Recommendat ganizations and other stalement Year Start of 2023  IVi.  V.i. Does the utility have	the implementation of recommendations here refer to recommendations from the ceholders received following a wildfire on it. No	ons and report upon their impact? n customers, local agencies, PSPS event  ii. Yes
IVh.  I.h Does the utility track larification: Recommendat ganizations and other stall rent Year Start of 2023  IVi.  I.i Does the utility have	the implementation of recommendations here refer to recommendations from the central c	ons and report upon their impact? n customers, local agencies, PSPS event  ii. Yes  cldfires in other the territory of othernt?

## J. Stakeholder cooperation and community engagement

**Current Year** 

# J.I Cooperation and best practice sharing with other utilities

Capability 48

	i. No	ii. Yes, from other California utilities	ii. Yes, from other global utilitie
Current Year			
by Start of 2023			•
QJIb.  J.I.b Does the utility suc	cessfully adopt and imple	ement best practices identifi	ed from other utilities?
	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year	0		
by Start of 2023  QJIc.  J.I.c Does the utility see	k to share best practices a	and lessons learned in a co	nsistent format?
QJIc.		and lessons learned in a co	
QJIc. J.I.c Does the utility see	k to share best practices a	and lessons learned in a coi	nsistent format?
QJIc.  J.I.c Does the utility see  Current Year	k to share best practices a	and lessons learned in a co	nsistent format?  ii. Yes
QJIc. J.I.c Does the utility see	k to share best practices a	and lessons learned in a co	nsistent format?
QJIc. J.I.c Does the utility see  Current Year by Start of 2023	k to share best practices a	and lessons learned in a cor	nsistent format?  ii. Yes
QJIc. J.I.c Does the utility see  Current Year by Start of 2023  QJId. J.I.d Does the utility sha	k to share best practices a		nsistent format?  ii. Yes
QJIc. J.I.c Does the utility see  Current Year by Start of 2023  QJId. J.I.d Does the utility sha	k to share best practices a		ii. Yes  o edictable set of

i. No

ii. Yes

Start of 2023					
lf.					
f Has the utility impl		ed process for te	esting lessons le	arned from othe	er utilities to
sure local applicabil	ity?				
		i. No		ii. Yes	
ent Year		1.140		ii. 1e3	
art of 2023				•	
II Engaga	mont with	oommiii	nitios on	4:1:4\/ \^/:	dfira
II Engage	ment with	ı commu	nities on	utility wil	latire
itigation in	itiatives				
Capability 49	9				
c sip sile in sy					
IIa.					
l.a Does the utility h	avo a cloar and a	ctionable plan t	o dovolon or mai	ntain a collabor	eativo
.a Dues me umily n	iave a cieai allu a	ictionable plan t	o develop of fila	illaili a collabol	alive
		•	•		
		•	•		
		•	·		
			·	ii Vas	
ationship with local		i. No		ii. Yes	
ationship with local				ii. Yes	
ationship with local		i. No	•		
ationship with local		i. No	•	•	
ent Year eart of 2023		i. No	•	•	
ent Year eart of 2023	communities?	i. No		<ul><li></li></ul>	
ent Year art of 2023	communities?	i. No		<ul><li></li></ul>	response to
ent Year eart of 2023	communities?	i. No		<ul><li></li></ul>	response to
ent Year art of 2023	communities?	i. No		<ul><li></li></ul>	response to
ent Year Fart of 2023	communities?	i. No		<ul><li></li></ul>	response to
ent Year art of 2023  IIb. b Are there community orts to mitigate fire in	communities?	i. No  reas where mean on clearance)?		e is expected in	response to
ent Year art of 2023  Ilb. b Are there community to mitigate fire in the sent Year	communities?	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?		e is expected in	response to
ent Year art of 2023  Ilb. b Are there community to mitigate fire in the sent Year	communities?	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?		e is expected in	response to
ent Year art of 2023  IIb. b Are there community to mitigate fire in the sent Year	communities?	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?		e is expected in	response to
ent Year art of 2023  Ilb.  b Are there community orts to mitigate fire in the sent Year	communities?	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?		e is expected in	response to
ent Year art of 2023  IIIb. b Are there community to mitigate fire in the Year art of 2023	communities?	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?		e is expected in	response to
ent Year art of 2023  Ilb. b Are there community to mitigate fire in the Year art of 2023	unities in HFTD arrisk (e.g. vegetation	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?	ningful resistand	e is expected in	
ent Year art of 2023  Ilb.  b Are there community to mitigate fire in the sent Year art of 2023  Ilc.  c What percent of I	unities in HFTD arrisk (e.g. vegetation	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?	ningful resistand	e is expected in	
ent Year tart of 2023  IIIb.  Ent Year tart of 2023  IIIc.  I.c. What percent of Imagement)?	unities in HFTD arrisk (e.g. vegetation	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?	ningful resistand	e is expected in	
ent Year tart of 2023	unities in HFTD arrisk (e.g. vegetation	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?	ningful resistand	e is expected in	
ent Year eart of 2023  Illb.  In Are there communicates to mitigate fire in the eart of 2023  Illc.  In C. What percent of I	unities in HFTD arrisk (e.g. vegetation	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?	ningful resistand	e is expected in	
ent Year art of 2023  Ilb.  Ib Are there community orts to mitigate fire in the sent Year art of 2023  Ilc.  I.c. What percent of I inagement)?	unities in HFTD arrisk (e.g. vegetation	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?  i. No	ith utility initiativ	e is expected in  ii. Yes  o  es (e.g., vegetat	tion
ent Year eart of 2023  Illb.  In Are there communicates to mitigate fire in the eart of 2023  Illc.  In C. What percent of I	unities in HFTD arrisk (e.g. vegetation	i. No reas where mean on clearance)?  i. No on-compliant with the compliant with the comp	ningful resistanc	e is expected in  ii. Yes  es (e.g., vegetat	tion v. Less than 0.5%

QJIId.

J.II.d What percent of landowners complain about utility initiatives (e.g., vegetation management)?

	i. More than 5%	ii. Less than 5%	iii. Less than 2%	iv. Less than 1%	v. Less than 0.5%
Current Year	0	0	0	•	0
by Start of 2023			0	•	0
QJIIe. J.II.e Does the utility >90% of the populations	on in HFTD areas (e	e.g. by being red	ognized by othe		
		i. No		ii. Yes	
Current Year		$\circ$		•	
by Start of 2023		$\circ$		•	
specify whether you ex	xpect the question to	hold true in 2023	3.	ii. Yes	
Current Year				•	
Current Year by Start of 2023  JIII.	omant with		d AEN pa	•	6
JIII.  J.III Engag  Capability &	provide a plan to p	artner with organal Needs (AFN	- nizations repres	pulation enting Limited ii. Yes	
JIII Engag Capability &  QJIIIa.  J.III engag Capability &  QJIIIa.  J.III.a Can the utility Proficiency (LEP) and	50 provide a plan to p	artner with organal Needs (AFN	- nizations repres	pulation enting Limited ii. Yes	
JIII.  J.III Engag  Capability &	50 provide a plan to p	artner with organal Needs (AFN	- nizations repres	pulation enting Limited ii. Yes	
JIII Engag Capability &  QJIIIa.  J.III engag Capability &  QJIIIa.  J.III.a Can the utility Proficiency (LEP) and	provide a plan to pd Access & Function	artner with organal Needs (AFN	nizations repres ) communities?	pulation enting Limited ii. Yes	English
JIII.  J.III Engag Capability &  QJIIIa.  J.III.a Can the utility Proficiency (LEP) and  Current Year by Start of 2023  QJIIIb.  J.III.b Can the utility	provide a plan to pd Access & Function	artner with organal Needs (AFN	nizations repres ) communities?	pulation enting Limited ii. Yes  or implementing	English

QJIIIc.			
		ow those relationships have mmunities for wildfire mitig	
		9	
	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023	0		
QJIIId.  J.III.d Does the utility herisk to LEP & AFN com		odated action plan further re	duce wildfire and PSPS
	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023	0		•
QJIVa. J.IV.a What is the coop	perative model between the	e utility and suppression ago	encies?
	i. Utility does not sufficiently cooperate with suppression agencies	ii. Utility <b>cooperates with suppression agencies</b> by notifying them of ignitions	iii. Utility cooperates with suppression agencies by working cooperatively with them to detect ignitions, in addition to notifying them of ignitions as needed
Current Year	cooperate with suppression	suppression agencies by notifying	iii. Utility cooperates with suppression agencies by working cooperatively with them to detect ignitions, in addition to notifying
Current Year by Start of 2023	cooperate with suppression agencies	suppression agencies by notifying them of ignitions	iii. Utility cooperates with suppression agencies by working cooperatively with them to detect ignitions, in addition to notifying them of ignitions as needed
oy Start of 2023  QJIVb.	cooperate with suppression agencies  the utility cooperating with	suppression agencies by notifying them of ignitions	iii. Utility cooperates with suppression agencies by working cooperatively with them to determine them of ignitions as needed

QJIVc.

J.IV.c Does the utility accurately predict and communicate the forecasted fire propagation path using available analytics resources and weather data?

 $\bigcirc$ 

by Start of 2023

i. No ii. Yes

 $\bigcirc$ 

			•
QJIVd.			
J.IV.d Does the utility	communicate fire paths to the	he community as requeste	d?
	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year			0
by Start of 2023	•		0
QJIVe.			
J.IV.e Does the utility	work to assist suppression	crews logistically, where p	ossible?
	i. No		ii. Yes
Current Year	0		•
by Start of 2023			
J.V. Collabo stakeholders		re mitigation p	lanning with
Capability 5.2  QJVa.  J.V.a Where does the	utility conduct substantial fu		iii Utility conducts fuel management
QJVa.		uel management?  ii. Utility conducts fuel management along rights of way	iii. Utility conducts fuel management throughout <b>service area</b>
QJVa.	utility conduct substantial fu	ii. Utility conducts fuel	
QJVa. J.V.a Where does the	utility conduct substantial fu	ii. Utility conducts fuel management along rights of way	throughout service area

**Current Year** 

	i. Utility does not coordinate with broader fuel management efforts by other stakeholders	ii. Utility shares fuel management plans with other stakeholders	iii. Utility shares fuel management plans with other stakeholders and works with other stakeholders conducting fuel management concurrently	iv. Utility shares fuel management plans with other stakeholders, and coordinates fuel management activities, including adjusting plans, to cooperate with other stakeholders state-wide to focus on areas that would have the biggest impact in reducing wildfire risk	v. Utility shares fuel management plans with other stakeholders, and pro-actively coordinates fuel management activities, including adjusting plans, to cooperate with other stakeholders statewide to focus on areas that would have the biggest impact in reducing wildfire risk
Current Year	0	0	•	0	0
by Start of 2023					
Current Year		i. No		ii. Yes	
oy Start of 2023		•		0	
QJVd.  J.V.d Does the utility fur	nd local groups (e	g., fire safe co	uncils) to suppo	rt fuel managen	nent?
		i. No		ii. Yes	
Current Year		$\circ$		•	
by Start of 2023				•	
QJVe. J.V.e Do you have any ad	dditional commen	ts?			
Location Data					

**Location:** (34.001007080078, -118.03759765625)

Source: GeoIP Estimation

