

In addition to the general solicitation for input on the information presented and discussed at the November 17, 2020 stakeholder workshop for Phase 3 of the Aliso OII, the Project Team specifically requests feedback and on the fourteen items listed below. The **bold** font denotes items of highest significance and priority.

The Commission's procedures already include an opportunity for workshop participants to submit written comments, which become part of the record of the proceeding. Stakeholders can include discussion of these topics in the body of the comments they file, or they can add text to this sheet and attach it to their submission.

Commenters should feel free to include as many or as few of the questions in their comments as they choose. There is no requirement or expectation that every commenter answer every question.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

1. Is our approach to modifying the Phase 2/IRP datasets reasonable?
2. **Is our exclusion of upgrades to SCG's Northern Zone from our base assumptions reasonable?**
3. Is our selection of 2027 and 2035 as the years to analyze reasonable? If not, is there a preferred option?
4. **Is our exclusion of impacts in 2027 and 2035 attributable to potential changes to Resource Adequacy rules reasonable?**
5. Are the "key uncertainties" described in the materials associated with the workshop reasonable?
6. Is the composition of the four investment options that are specified reasonable? If not, is there an option that is preferred for further analysis?
7. Please identify any of the specific assumptions or inputs discussed during the workshop or provided in the supporting materials that are unreasonable or that should be replaced with a preferred alternative.
8. Is our approach to allocating the modeled gas shortfall based on unit heat rates reasonable? If not, is there a preferred approach?
9. Is our approach to define the fifth investment option after modeling and analyzing the first four reasonable?
10. **How should we value reductions in carbon emissions in Workstream 2?**
11. **Aside from reductions in the cost of delivered energy, what benefits should we capture in the Workstream 2 analysis of the investment options?**

12. Aside from the capital and financing costs to build new infrastructure, what costs should we capture in our Workstream 2 analysis of the investment options?
  
13. If the data provided at the CPUC website are insufficient, please indicate which datasets should be added.
  
14. **Should another workshop be held between now and the one currently scheduled for May 2021? If so, when and to discuss what topics?**